arXiv:1411.2933v2 [math.AG] 15 Apr 2015

Betti number estimates in *p*-adic cohomology

Daniel Caro

Abstract

In the framework of Berthelot's theory of arithmetic $\mathcal{D}$-modules, we prove the *p*-adic analogue of Betti number estimates and we give some standard applications.

Contents

1 Relative generic $\mathcal{O}$-coherence **2**
1.1 Preliminaries on cotangent spaces . . . 2
1.2 Inverse and direct images of complexes of arithmetic $\mathcal{D}$-modules and characteristic varieties . . . 4
1.3 Generic smoothness up to Frobenius descent . . . 6
1.4 The result . . . 7

2 Betti numbers estimates **10**
2.1 The curve case . . . 10
2.2 The result and some applications . . . 11

Introduction

Let k be a perfect field of characteristic p and l be a prime number different from p. When k is algebraically closed, in the framework of Grothendieck's l-adic etale cohomology of k-varieties, Bernstein, Beilinson and Deligne in their famous paper on perverse sheaves, more precisely in [BBD82, 4.5.1] (or see the p-adic translation here in 2.2.5), established some Betti number estimates. The goal of this paper is to get the same estimates in the context of Berthelot's arithmetic $\mathcal{D}$-modules. We recall that this theory of Berthelot gives a p-adic cohomology stable under six operations (see [CT12]) and admitting a theory of weights (see [AC13b]) analogous to that of Deligne in the l-adic side (see [Del80]). This allows us to consider Berthelot's theory as a right p-adic analogue of Grothendieck's l-adic etale cohomology. By trying to translate the proof of Betti number estimates in [BBD82, 4.5.1] in the framework of arithmetic $\mathcal{D}$-modules, two specific problems appear. The first one is that we do not have a notion of local acyclicity in the theory of arithmetic $\mathcal{D}$-modules. We replace the use of this notion by another one that we might call "relative generic $\mathcal{O}$-coherence". The goal of the first chapter is to prove this property. The proof of this relative generic $\mathcal{O}$-coherence uses the precise description of the characteristic variety of a unipotent overconvergent F-isocrystal (see [Car15]). Berthelot's characteristic variety of a holonomic arithmetic $\mathcal{D}$-module endowed with a Frobenius structure. The second emerging problem when we follow the original l-adic proof of Betti number estimates is that we still do not have vanishing cycles theory as nice as in the l-adic framework (so far, following [AC13a] we only have a p-adic analogue of Beilinson's unipotent nearby cycles and vanishing cycles). Here, we replace successfully in the original proof on Betti number estimates the use of vanishing cycles by that of some Fourier transform and of Abe-Marmora formula ([AM, 4.1.6.(i)]) relating the irregularity of an isocrystal with the rank of its Fourier transform. We conclude this paper by the remark that these Betti number estimates allow us to state that the results of [BBD82, chapters 4 and 5] are still valid (except [BBD82, 5.4.7–8] because the translation is not clear so far).

Acknowledgment

I would like to thank Ambrus Pál for his invitation at the Imperial College of London. During this visit, one problem that we studied was to get a p-adic analogue of Gabber's purity theorem published in [BBD82]. We noticed that the p-adic analogue of this proof was not obvious since contrary to the l-adic case we did not have p-adic vanishing cycles. I would like to thank him for the motivation he inspired to overcome this problem.

Convention, notation of the paper

Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a complete discrete valued ring of mixed characteristic $(0,p)$, K its field of fractions, k its residue field which is supposed to be perfect. Let $F_k\colon k\to k$ be the Frobenius map. When we deal with Frobenius structures, we suppose that there exists a lifting of Frobenius $\sigma_0\colon \mathcal{V}\to\mathcal{V}$ that we will fix. A k-variety is a separated reduced scheme of finite type over k. We say that a k-variety X is realizable if there exists an immersion of the form $X\hookrightarrow\mathcal{P}$, where $\mathcal{P}$ is a proper smooth formal scheme over $\mathcal{V}$. In this paper, k-varieties will always be supposed realizable. For any k-variety X, we denote by $p_X\colon X\to\operatorname{Spec}k$ the canonical morphism. We will denote formal schemes by curly or gothic letters and the corresponding straight roman letter will mean the special fiber (e.g. if $\mathfrak{X}$ is a formal scheme over $\mathcal{V}$, then X is the k-variety equal to the special fiber of $\mathfrak{X}$). The underlying topological space of a k-variety X is denoted by $|X|$. When M is a $\mathcal{V}$-module, we denote by $\widehat{M}$ its p-adic completion and we set $M_{\mathbb{Q}}:=M\otimes_{\mathcal{V}}K$. Concerning the cohomological operations of the theory of arithmetic $\mathcal{D}$-modules of Berthelot, we follow the usual notation (for instance, see the beginning of [AC13b]). By default, a module will mean a left module. Moreover, is $f\colon\mathcal{P}'\to\mathcal{P}$ is a morphism of formal schemes over $\mathcal{V}$, we denote by $\mathbb{L}f^*$ the functor defined by putting $\mathbb{L}f^*(\mathcal{M})=\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}',\mathbb{Q}}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P},\mathbb{Q}}}f^{-1}\mathcal{M}$, for any bounded below complex $\mathcal{M}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P},\mathbb{Q}}$-modules. When f is flat, we remove $\mathbb{L}$ in the notation.

If $T\to S$ is a morphism of schemes and $f\colon X\to Y$ is a S-morphism, then we denote by $f_T\colon X_T\to Y_T$ or simply by $f\colon X_T\to Y_T$ the base change of f by $T\to S$.

If $j\colon U\hookrightarrow X$ is an open immersion of (realizable) varieties, the functor $j^!\colon D^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{ovhol}}(X/K)\to D^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{ovhol}}(U/K)$ (or the functor $j^!\colon\mathrm{Ovhol}(X/K)\to\mathrm{Ovhol}(U/K)$) will simply be denoted by $|Y$ (in other papers, to avoid confusion, it was sometimes denoted by $\|Y$ but, here, there is no such risk since we do not work "partially").

1 Relative generic $\mathcal{O}$-coherence

1.1 Preliminaries on cotangent spaces

Notation 1.1.1. Let $f\colon X\to Y$ be a morphism of smooth varieties. We denote by T^*X (resp. T^*Y) the cotangent space of X (resp. Y), by $\pi_X\colon T^*X\to X$, $\varpi_f\colon X\times_Y T^*Y\to T^*Y$ the projections and by $\rho_f\colon X\times_Y T^*Y\to T^*X$ the canonical morphism. We set $T^*_XY:=\rho_f^{-1}(T^*_XX)$. We denote by $\mathscr{T}_f$ the function from the set of subvarieties of T^*X to the set of subvarieties of T^*Y defined by posing, for any subvariety V of T^*X, $\mathscr{T}_f(V):=\varpi_f(\rho_f^{-1}(V))$. The application $\mathscr{T}\colon f\mapsto\mathscr{T}_f$ is transitive (with respect to the composition), i.e. we have the equality $\mathscr{T}_g\circ\mathscr{T}_f=\mathscr{T}_{g\circ f}$ for any $g\colon Y\to Z$ (see [HTT08, I.2.4.1]).

Lemma 1.1.2. *Let $u\colon Z\to X$ and $f\colon X\to P$ be two morphisms of smooth k-varieties.*

1. *We have the equality $(Z\times_X\rho_f)^{-1}(T^*_ZX)=T^*_ZP$.*
2. *Suppose that u is an immersion. We get $\mathscr{T}_f(T^*_ZX)=\varpi_f(T^*_ZP)$. Morevoer, if f is a immersion (resp. if f is an open immersion), then we can be identified with $\varpi_f(T^*_ZP)$ with T^*_ZP (resp. ρ_f is an isomorphism and we have by abuse of notation $T^*_ZX=T^*_ZP$).*
3. *When u is an immersion (resp. an open immersion), we have the inclusion $\varpi_u^{-1}(T^*_XP)=Z\times_XT^*_XP\subset T^*_ZP$ (resp. the equality $Z\times_XT^*_XP=T^*_ZP$) in $Z\times_PT^*P$.*

Proof. The equality $(Z\times_X \rho_f)^{-1}(T^*_Z X) = T^*_Z P$ can be checked by considering the following diagram with cartesian squares:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} T^*_Z Z & \longleftarrow & T^*_Z X & \longleftarrow & T^*_Z P \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\ T^*Z & \xleftarrow[\rho_u]{} & Z\times_X T^*X & \xleftarrow[Z\times_X \rho_f]{} & Z\times_P T^*P \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varpi_u} & \square & \downarrow \\ & & T^*X & \xleftarrow[\rho_f]{} & X\times_P T^*P, \end{array} \tag{1.1.2.1}$$

where, from [HTT08, I.2.4.1], the composition of the second row is $\rho_{f\circ u}$ (which justifies the cartesianity of the upper right square). The second statement is obvious from the first one.

Concerning the third assertion, looking at the top of the diagram 1.1.2.1, we reduce to check that $Z\times_X T^*_X X \subset T^*_Z X$ (resp. $Z\times_X T^*_X X = T^*_Z X$). When u is an open immersion, the equality $Z\times_X T^*_X X = T^*_Z X$ is easy. Suppose now that u is only an immersion. Let $\overline{Z}$ be the closure of Z in X. Using the respective case (used there replacing (Z,X,P) by $(Z,\overline{Z},X)$), we reduce to the case $Z=\overline{Z}$. Moreover, from the second and the respective case of the third part of the Lemma, the check is local in X. Then, this is proved by computations in local coordinates. □

Lemma 1.1.3. *Let $f\colon X\to P$ be a morphism of smooth k-varieties and $(X_i)_{1\leq i\leq r}$ be a family of smooth subvarieties of X (resp. open subvarieties of X) such that $X\subset \cup_{i=1}^r X_i$. Then, $T^*_X P\subset \cup_{i=1}^r T^*_{X_i} P$ (resp. $T^*_X P = \cup_{i=1}^r T^*_{X_i} P$).*

Proof. From the first equality of Lemma 1.1.2, we reduce to the case $X=P$. Using the third part of Lemma 1.1.2, we get the inclusions $X_i\times_X T^*_X X\subset T^*_{X_i} X$ (resp. the equalities $X_i\times_X T^*_X X = T^*_{X_i} X$), which yields the desired result when $X=P$. □

Proposition 1.1.4. *Let $f\colon X\to Y$ be a smooth morphism of smooth varieties. Let B be a subvariety of Y and $A := f^{-1}(B)$.*

1. *The morphism ρ_f is a closed immersion. If f is étale then ρ_f is an isomorphism,*
2. *We have $\rho_f^{-1}(T^*_A X) = T^*_A Y = \varpi_f^{-1}(T^*_B Y)$, $\rho_f(\varpi_f^{-1}(T^*_B Y))\subset T^*_A X$ and $\mathscr{T}_f(T^*_A X)\subset T^*_B Y$.*
3. *When f is surjective, we have the equality $\mathscr{T}_f(T^*_X X) = T^*_Y Y$.*

Proof. 1) Using [EGAII, 1.7.11.(iv)], we check that ρ_f is a closed immersion (resp. an isomorphism if f is etale).

2) a) In this step, we check the equality $T^*_X Y = \varpi_f^{-1}(T^*_Y Y)$. From 1.1.2.3, this is local in X. Moreover, this is local in Y. Indeed, let V be an open set of Y. We put $U := f^{-1}(V)$ and $f_V\colon U\to V$ the induced morphism. Then, using 1.1.2 we get $(U\times_Y T^*Y)\cap \varpi_f^{-1}(T^*_Y Y) = \varpi_{f_U}^{-1}(T^*_V V)$ and $(U\times_Y T^*Y)\cap T^*_X Y = T^*_U V$, which give the localness in Y. Moreover, the equality $T^*_X Y = \varpi_f^{-1}(T^*_Y Y) = X\times_Y T^*_Y Y$ is transitive with respect to the composition. Indeed, let $g\colon Y\to Z$ be another smooth morphism of varieties. If this equality is satisfied for f and g, then we get the cartesian squares:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} T^*_Z Z & \longleftarrow & Z\times_Y T^*_Y Y & \longleftarrow & Z\times_X T^*_X X \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\ T^*Z & \xleftarrow{\rho_f} & Z\times_Y T^*Y & \xleftarrow{\rho_g} & Z\times_X T^*X \\ & \underset{\rho_{g\circ f}}{\nwarrow} & & & \end{array}$$

Hence, $g\circ f$ satisfies also the equality, i.e. $T^*_X Z = X\times_Z T^*_Z Z$.

Since this is transitive and local in X and Y, we can suppose that Y has local coordinates and that there exists an etale morphism of the form $X\to \mathbb{A}^n_Y$ whose composition with the projection $\mathbb{A}^n_Y\to Y$ gives f. By transitivity with respect to the composition, we reduce to the case where $n=0$ or $X=\mathbb{A}^n_Y$. Both cases are checked using computations in local coordinates.

b) From 1.1.2, we get $(A\times_B\rho_i)^{-1}(T^*_AB)=T^*_AY$. Moreover, $(A\times_B\rho_i)^{-1}(A\times_B T^*_BB)=A\times_B T^*_BY$. From part a), we have $T^*_AB=A\times_B T^*_BB$. Hence, $T^*_AY=A\times_B T^*_BY=X\times_Y T^*_BY=\varpi_f^{-1}(T^*_BY)$. Finally, this is straightforward that the equalities imply the inclusions of the second part of the proposition.

3) When f is surjective, we get the last assertion because in that case $\pi_Y(\mathscr{T}_f(T^*_XX))=Y$. □

1.2 Inverse and direct images of complexes of arithmetic $\mathcal{D}$-modules and characteristic varieties

Every results of [Lau85, 2] concerning the extraordinary inverse and direct images of complexes of filtered arithmetic $\mathcal{D}$-modules are still valid for arithmetic $\mathcal{D}$-modules at level 0 without new arguments for the check. For the reader convenience, via the following two propositions we translate in the context of arithmetic $\mathcal{D}$-modules of level 0 the corollaries [Lau85, 2.5.1 and 2.5.2] that we will need below .

Proposition 1.2.1 (Laumon)**.** *Let $f\colon X\to Y$ be a morphism of smooth k-varieties. For any $\overline{\mathcal{F}}\in D^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathcal{D}^{(0)}_Y)$ such that the restriction $\rho_f|\varpi_f^{-1}(|\mathrm{Car}^{(0)}(\overline{\mathcal{F}})|)$ is proper, we have $f^{!^{(0)}}(\overline{\mathcal{F}})\in D^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathcal{D}^{(0)}_X)$ and $|\mathrm{Car}^{(0)}(f^{!^{(0)}}\overline{\mathcal{F}})|\subset\rho_f(\varpi_f^{-1}(|\mathrm{Car}^{(0)}(\overline{\mathcal{F}})|))$.*

Proposition 1.2.2 (Laumon)**.** *Let $f\colon X\to Y$ be a morphism of smooth k-varieties. for any $\overline{\mathcal{E}}\in D^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathcal{D}^{(0)}_X)$ such that the restriction $\varpi_f|\rho_f^{-1}(|\mathrm{Car}^{(0)}(\overline{\mathcal{E}})|)$ is proper, we have $f_{+^{(0)}}(\overline{\mathcal{E}})\in D^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathcal{D}^{(0)}_X)$ and $|\mathrm{Car}^{(0)}(f_{+^{(0)}}\overline{\mathcal{E}})|\subset\varpi_f(\rho_f^{-1}(|\mathrm{Car}^{(0)}(\overline{\mathcal{E}})|))=:\mathscr{T}_f(|\mathrm{Car}^{(0)}(\overline{\mathcal{E}})|)$.*

Corollary 1.2.3. *Let $f\colon X\to Y$ be an étale morphism of integral smooth k-varieties. Let $\overline{\mathcal{E}}\in D^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathcal{D}^{(0)}_X)$, $\overline{\mathcal{F}}\in D^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathcal{D}^{(0)}_Y)$.*

1. *We have the equality $|\mathrm{Car}^{(0)}(f^{!^{(0)}}(\overline{\mathcal{F}}))|=\rho_f(\varpi_f^{-1}(|\mathrm{Car}^{(0)}(\overline{\mathcal{F}})|))$.*
2. *If f is moreover finite and surjective of degree d, then*

$$|\mathrm{Car}^{(0)}(f_{+^{(0)}}(\overline{\mathcal{E}}))|=\mathscr{T}_f(|\mathrm{Car}^{(0)}(\overline{\mathcal{E}})|);\tag{1.2.3.1}$$

$$Z\mathrm{Car}^{(0)}(f_{+^{(0)}}f^{!^{(0)}}(\overline{\mathcal{F}}))=dZ\mathrm{Car}^{(0)}(\overline{\mathcal{F}}).\tag{1.2.3.2}$$

Proof. Since this is local in X and Y, we can suppose that X and Y are affine with local coordinates. First, let us check the first equality. We have the isomorphism $f^*\mathrm{gr}\mathcal{D}^{(0)}_Y\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathrm{gr}\mathcal{D}^{(0)}_X$ (i.e. ρ_f is an isomorphism) and the etale homomorphism of rings $\Gamma(Y,\mathrm{gr}\mathcal{D}^{(0)}_Y)\to\Gamma(X,\mathrm{gr}\mathcal{D}^{(0)}_X)$. We get $\Gamma(X,\mathrm{gr}\,f^{!^{(0)}}(\overline{\mathcal{F}}))=\Gamma(X,\mathrm{gr}\mathcal{D}^{(0)}_X)\otimes_{\Gamma(Y,\mathrm{gr}\mathcal{D}^{(0)}_Y)}\Gamma(Y,\mathrm{gr}\overline{\mathcal{F}})$. Since the extension $\Gamma(Y,\mathrm{gr}\mathcal{D}^{(0)}_Y)\to\Gamma(X,\mathrm{gr}\mathcal{D}^{(0)}_X)$ is flat, we get $\mathrm{Supp}(\Gamma(X,\mathrm{gr}\,f^{!^{(0)}}(\overline{\mathcal{F}})))=\rho_f(\varpi_f^{-1}(\mathrm{Supp}(\Gamma(Y,\mathrm{gr}\overline{\mathcal{F}}))))$, which gives the desired equality.

From 1.2.2, we have the inclusion $|\mathrm{Car}^{(0)}(f_{+^{(0)}}(\overline{\mathcal{E}}))|\subset\mathscr{T}_f(|\mathrm{Car}^{(0)}(\overline{\mathcal{E}})|)$. It remains to check $\mathscr{T}_f(|\mathrm{Car}^{(0)}(\overline{\mathcal{E}})|)\subset|\mathrm{Car}^{(0)}(f_{+^{(0)}}(\overline{\mathcal{E}}))|$, which is equivalent to the property $|\mathrm{Car}^{(0)}(\overline{\mathcal{E}})|\subset\rho_f(\varpi_f^{-1}|\mathrm{Car}^{(0)}(f_{+^{(0)}}(\overline{\mathcal{E}}))|)$ (recall that ρ_f is an isomorphism). With the first part of the corollary, we reduce to check $|\mathrm{Car}^{(0)}(\overline{\mathcal{E}})|\subset|\mathrm{Car}^{(0)}(f^{!^{(0)}}f_{+^{(0)}}(\overline{\mathcal{E}}))|$. Since f is finite and etale, we have the epimorphism $f^{!^{(0)}}f_{+^{(0)}}(\overline{\mathcal{E}})\twoheadrightarrow\overline{\mathcal{E}}$. Hence, we have checked 1.2.3.1. It remains to prove 1.2.3.2. From what we have just proved, we get $|\mathrm{Car}^{(0)}(f_{+^{(0)}}f^{!^{(0)}}(\overline{\mathcal{F}}))|=|\mathrm{Car}^{(0)}(\overline{\mathcal{F}})|$. It remains to compute the multiplicity (see Berthelot's definition of characteristic cycles of [Ber02, 5.4.1]). We obtain the desired computation using Corollory [SGA1, I.10.10], Lemma [Ful98, A.1.3] and the following fact : if $\phi\colon A\to B$ is an étale morphism, $\mathfrak{Q}$ a prime ideal of B, $\mathfrak{P}:=\phi^{-1}(\mathfrak{Q})$, if M is an $A_{\mathfrak{P}}$-module then $B_{\mathfrak{Q}}\otimes_{A_{\mathfrak{P}}}M$ has the same length as $B_{\mathfrak{Q}}$-module than M as $A_{\mathfrak{P}}$-module. □

1.2.4. Let $f\colon\mathcal{P}'\to\mathcal{P}$ be a proper morphism of smooth formal $\mathcal{V}$-schemes. Let $(\mathcal{E}',\phi)$ be a coherent F-$\mathcal{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathcal{P}',\mathbb{Q}}$-module. From the equivalence of categories of [Ber00, 4.5.4], there exist (unique up to isomorphism) a coherent $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{(0)}_{\mathcal{P}',\mathbb{Q}}$-module

$\mathcal{F}'^{(0)}$ and an isomorphism $\phi^{(0)}\colon \widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{(1)}_{\mathcal{P}',\mathbb{Q}} \otimes_{\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{(0)}_{\mathcal{P}',\mathbb{Q}}} \mathcal{F}'^{(0)} \xrightarrow{\sim} F^*\mathcal{F}'^{(0)}$ which induced $(\mathcal{E}',\phi)$ by extension. Fix an integer $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. From the isomorphisms

$$\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{(1)}_{\mathcal{P},\mathbb{Q}} \otimes_{\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{(0)}_{\mathcal{P},\mathbb{Q}}} \mathcal{H}^i f_{+^{(0)}}(\mathcal{F}'^{(0)}) \underset{[\text{Ber02},3.5.3.1]}{\xrightarrow{\sim}} \mathcal{H}^i f_{+^{(1)}}(\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{(1)}_{\mathcal{P}',\mathbb{Q}} \otimes_{\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{(0)}_{\mathcal{P}',\mathbb{Q}}} \mathcal{F}'^{(0)}) \tag{1.2.4.1}$$

$$\underset{\phi^{(0)}}{\xrightarrow{\sim}} \mathcal{H}^i f_{+^{(1)}}(F^*\mathcal{F}'^{(0)}) \underset{[\text{Ber02},3.5.4.1]}{\xrightarrow{\sim}} F^*\mathcal{H}^i f_{+^{(0)}}(\mathcal{F}'^{(0)}), \tag{1.2.4.2}$$

we get $\mathrm{Car}(\mathcal{H}^i f_+(\mathcal{E}')) = \mathrm{Car}^{(0)}(\mathcal{H}^i f_{+^{(0)}}(\mathcal{F}'^{(0)}))$. Choose a coherent $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{(0)}_{\mathcal{P}'}$-module without p-torsion $\mathcal{E}'^{(0)}$ such that $\mathcal{E}'^{(0)}_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}'^{(0)}$. Since $\mathcal{H}^i f_{+^{(0)}}(\mathcal{F}'^{(0)}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathcal{H}^i f_{+^{(0)}}(\mathcal{E}'^{(0)}))_{\mathbb{Q}}$, then by putting $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ as equal to the quotient of $\mathcal{H}^i f_{+^{(0)}}(\mathcal{E}'^{(0)})$ by its p-torsion part, $\mathrm{Car}^{(0)}(\mathcal{H}^i f_{+^{(0)}}(\mathcal{F}'^{(0)})) := \mathrm{Car}^{(0)}(\mathcal{G}^{(0)}) \subset \mathrm{Car}^{(0)}(\mathcal{H}^i f_{+^{(0)}}(\mathcal{E}'^{(0)}))$ (for the equality, see the definition [Ber02, 5.2.5]). Hence,

$$|\mathrm{Car}(\mathcal{H}^i f_+(\mathcal{E}'))| \subset |\mathrm{Car}^{(0)}(\mathcal{H}^i f_{+^{(0)}}(\mathcal{E}'^{(0)}))| := |\mathrm{Car}^{(0)}(k \otimes_{\mathcal{V}} \mathcal{H}^i f_{+^{(0)}}(\mathcal{E}'^{(0)}))|.$$

By using a spectral sequence (the result is given in the beginning of the proof of [Vir00, I.5.8]), we obtain the monomorphism $k \otimes_{\mathcal{V}} \mathcal{H}^i f_{+^{(0)}}(\mathcal{E}'^{(0)}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}^i(k \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{\mathcal{V}} f_{+^{(0)}}(\mathcal{E}'^{(0)}))$. Hence, $|\mathrm{Car}^{(0)}(k \otimes_{\mathcal{V}} \mathcal{H}^i f_{+^{(0)}}(\mathcal{E}'^{(0)}))| \subset |\mathrm{Car}^{(0)}(\mathcal{H}^i(k \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{\mathcal{V}} f_{+^{(0)}}(\mathcal{E}'^{(0)})))|$. We have $k \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{\mathcal{V}} f_{+^{(0)}}(\mathcal{E}'^{(0)}) \xrightarrow{\sim} f_{+^{(0)}}(\overline{\mathcal{E}}'^{(0)})$, where $\overline{\mathcal{E}}'^{(0)} := k \otimes_{\mathcal{V}} \mathcal{E}'^{(0)} \xrightarrow{\sim} k \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{\mathcal{V}} \mathcal{E}'^{(0)}$. Finally we get

$$|\mathrm{Car}(\mathcal{H}^i f_+(\mathcal{E}'))| \subset |\mathrm{Car}^{(0)}(\mathcal{H}^i f_{+^{(0)}}(\overline{\mathcal{E}}'^{(0)}))|. \tag{1.2.4.3}$$

From 1.2.2, since f is proper then $|\mathrm{Car}^{(0)}(\mathcal{H}^i f_{+^{(0)}}(\overline{\mathcal{E}}'^{(0)}))| \subset \mathscr{T}_f(|\mathrm{Car}^{(0)}(\overline{\mathcal{E}}'^{(0)})|)$. By Berthelot's definition of the characteristic variety of $\mathcal{E}'$, we have $\mathrm{Car}(\mathcal{E}') = \mathrm{Car}^{(0)}(\overline{\mathcal{E}}'^{(0)})$. Hence, we have checked the following proposition.

Proposition 1.2.5. *Let $f\colon \mathcal{P}' \to \mathcal{P}$ be a proper morphism of smooth formal $\mathcal{V}$-schemes. Let $(\mathcal{E}',\phi) \in F\text{-}D^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathcal{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathcal{P}',\mathbb{Q}})$. We have the inclusion*

$$|\mathrm{Car}(f_+(\mathcal{E}'))| \subset \mathscr{T}_f(|\mathrm{Car}(\mathcal{E}')|).$$

1.2.6. Let $f\colon \mathcal{P}' \to \mathcal{P}$ be a finite étale surjective morphism of smooth formal $\mathcal{V}$-schemes.

1. In that case, since $f_{+^{(0)}} = f_*$ (and then preserves the property of p-torsion freeness) and $f_+ = f_*$, the inclusion 1.2.4.3 is an equality. In fact, with the usual notation of characteristic cycles (see [Ber02, 5.4]), we get the equality
$$Z\mathrm{Car}(f_+(\mathcal{E}')) = Z\mathrm{Car}^{(0)}(f_{+^{(0)}}(\overline{\mathcal{E}}'^{(0)})).$$
2. Moreover, let $(\mathcal{E},\phi)$ be a coherent $F\text{-}\mathcal{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathcal{P},\mathbb{Q}}$-module. From the equivalence of categories of [Ber00, 4.5.4], there exist (unique up to isomorphism) a coherent $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{(0)}_{\mathcal{P},\mathbb{Q}}$-module $\mathcal{F}^{(0)}$ and an isomorphism $\phi^{(0)}\colon \widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{(1)}_{\mathcal{P},\mathbb{Q}} \otimes_{\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{(0)}_{\mathcal{P},\mathbb{Q}}} \mathcal{F}^{(0)} \xrightarrow{\sim} F^*\mathcal{F}^{(0)}$ which induced $(\mathcal{E},\phi)$ by extension. Choose a coherent $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{(0)}_{\mathcal{P}}$-module without p-torsion $\mathcal{E}^{(0)}$ such that $\mathcal{F}^{(0)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}^{(0)}_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Since $\mathcal{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathcal{P}',\mathbb{Q}} = f^*\mathcal{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathcal{P},\mathbb{Q}} = f^!\mathcal{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathcal{P},\mathbb{Q}}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{(0)}_{\mathcal{P}'} = f^*\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{(0)}_{\mathcal{P}} = f^{!^{(0)}}\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{(0)}_{\mathcal{P}}$, we check that $f^{!^{(0)}}\mathcal{E}^{(0)} = f^*\mathcal{E}^{(0)}$ has no p-torsion and that $f^!(\mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathcal{P}',\mathbb{Q}} \otimes_{\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{(0)}_{\mathcal{P}'}} f^{!^{(0)}}\mathcal{E}^{(0)}$. Moreover, putting $\overline{\mathcal{E}}^{(0)} := k \otimes_{\mathcal{V}} \mathcal{E}^{(0)}$, we get
$$Z\mathrm{Car}(f^!(\mathcal{E})) = Z\mathrm{Car}(f^{!^{(0)}}\overline{\mathcal{E}}^{(0)}). \tag{1.2.6.1}$$

Proposition 1.2.7. *Let $f\colon \mathcal{P}' \to \mathcal{P}$ be a finite étale surjective morphism of degree d of integral smooth formal $\mathcal{V}$-schemes. Let $\mathcal{E} \in F\text{-}D^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathcal{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathcal{P},\mathbb{Q}})$. Then we get*

$$Z\mathrm{Car}(f_+ f^!\mathcal{E}) = dZ\mathrm{Car}(\mathcal{E}); \tag{1.2.7.1}$$

$$\chi(\mathcal{P}, f_+ f^!(\mathcal{E})) = d \cdot \chi(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{E}). \tag{1.2.7.2}$$

Proof. From 1.2.3.2 and 1.2.6, we get $Z\mathrm{Car}(f_+ f^!\mathcal{E}) = dZ\mathrm{Car}(\mathcal{E})$. Moreover, the equality 1.2.7.2 is a consequence of 1.2.7.1 and of Berthelot's index theorem [Ber02, 5.4.4]. □

1.3 Generic smoothness up to Frobenius descent

We prove in this section the proposition 1.3.4 below which states that, up to some Frobenius descent (later, we will use the Frobenius descent 1.3.5 in the proof of Theorem 1.4.3), a morphism is generically smooth. First, we introduce some notation concerning Frobenius.

1.3.1. Let s be a positive integer and $\sigma = \sigma_0^s$ the corresponding lifting of the sth power of the Frobenius map. Let X be a k-variety and $\mathcal{P}$ be a formal $\mathcal{V}$-scheme. We denote by X^σ and $\mathcal{P}^\sigma$ the corresponding k-variety (resp. formal $\mathcal{V}$-scheme) induced by the base change by σ. We will denote by $F^s_{X/k}\colon X \to X^\sigma$ and $F^s_{\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{V}}\colon \mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{P}^\sigma$ the corresponding relative Frobenius.

Lemma 1.3.2. *Let $a\colon X \to P$ be a dominant morphism of smooth integral k-varieties. Let $Z \hookrightarrow X$ be a proper closed subset. Then there exists a dense open subvariety U of P, a universal homeomorphism $g\colon U' \to U$ with U' normal, a projective, generically finite and etale U'-morphism of the form $f\colon \widetilde{V}' \to (X \times_P U')_{\mathrm{red}}$ such that $\widetilde{V}'$ is integral and smooth over U', $f^{-1}(Z \times_P U')_{\mathrm{red}}$ is the support of a strict normal crossing divisor in $\widetilde{V}'$ relatively to U'.*

Proof. 1) Let l be the field of fractions of P and $\bar{l}$ be an algebraic closure of l, $L := \bar{l}^{Gal(\bar{l}/l)}$ the fixed field by $Gal(\bar{l}/l)$. We put $X_{(L)} := X \times_P \mathrm{Spec}\,(L)$, $Y_{(L)} := (X_{(L)})_{\mathrm{red}}$ and $Z_{(L)} := Z \times_P \mathrm{Spec}\,(L)$. From the desingularisation de Jong's theorem (see [dJ96] or [Ber97, 4.1]), since L is perfect, there exists a projective, generically finite and etale morphism $\phi_L\colon Y'_{(L)} \to Y_{(L)}$ such that $Y'_{(L)}$ is integral, smooth and $Z'_{(L)} := \phi_L^{-1}(Z_{(L)})_{\mathrm{red}}$ is the support of a strict normal crossing divisor in $Y'_{(L)}$.

a) By using [EGAIV3, 8.8.2.(ii)] and [EGAIV3, 8.10.5.(v)], there exists a finite (radicial) extension l' of l included in L such that there exist separated (and necessarily integral) l'-schemes of finite type $Y_{(l')}$ and $Y'_{(l')}$ satisfying $Y_{(L)} \xrightarrow{\sim} Y_{(l')} \times_{\mathrm{Spec}\,(l')} \mathrm{Spec}\,(L)$ and $Y'_{(L)} \xrightarrow{\sim} Y'_{(l')} \times_{\mathrm{Spec}\,(l')} \mathrm{Spec}\,(L)$.

b) We put $X_{(l')} := X \times_P \mathrm{Spec}\,(l')$ and $Z_{(l')} := Z \times_P \mathrm{Spec}\,(l')$. By increasing l' is necessary, it follows from [EGAIV3, 8.8.2.(i)] that there exists a morphism $\phi_{l'} := Y'_{(l')} \to Y_{(l')}$ (resp. $Y_{(l')} \to X_{(l')}$) inducing ϕ_L (resp. the surjective closed immersion $Y_{(L)} \hookrightarrow X_{(L)}$). By using [EGAIV4, 17.7.8] and [EGAIV3, 8.10.5] (by increasing l' is necessary), we can suppose that $Y_{(l')} \to X_{(l')}$ is a surjective closed immersion (i.e. $Y_{(l')} = (X_{(l')})_{\mathrm{red}}$ since $Y_{(l')}$ is reduced), that $\phi_{l'}$ is projective, generically finite and etale morphism, that $Y'_{(l')}$ is smooth and $Z'_{(l')} := \phi_{l'}^{-1}(Z_{(l')})_{\mathrm{red}}$ is the support of a strict normal crossing divisor in $Y'_{(l')}$.

2) Let P' be the normalization of P in l'. Then the canonical morphism $g\colon P' \to P$ is a universal homeomorphism, i.e. is finite (e.g. use [Liu02, 4.1.27]), surjective and radicial (e.g. use the exercise [Liu02, 5.3.9.(a)]). By using [EGAIV3, 8.8.2.(ii)] (this times, we consider the projective system of open affine dense subvarieties of P'), there exists a dense open affine subvariety U' of P', two morphisms $V' \to U'$ and $\widetilde{V}' \to U'$ such that $Y_{(l')} \xrightarrow{\sim} V' \times_{U'} \mathrm{Spec}\,(l')$ and $Y'_{(l')} \xrightarrow{\sim} \widetilde{V}' \times_{U'} \mathrm{Spec}\,(l')$. Taking some well chosen irreducible components, we can suppose that V' and $\widetilde{V}'$ are irreducible. By noetherianity, increasing l' is necessary, we can suppose that V' and $\widetilde{V}'$ are reduced and next that $V' = (X \times_P U')_{\mathrm{red}}$. By shrinking U' is necessary, from [EGAIV3, 8.8.2.(i)], there exists a U'-morphism $f\colon \widetilde{V}' \to V'$ which induces $\phi_{l'}$. By shrinking U' is necessary, by using [EGAIV4, 17.7.8] and [EGAIV3, 8.10.5] we get the desired properties. □

Remark 1.3.3. Let $g\colon U' \to U$ be a universal homeomorphism of normal integral k-varieties. Then, for s large enough, there exists a universal homeomorphism $h\colon U \to U'^\sigma$ such that $g^\sigma \circ h = F^s_{U/k}\colon U \to U^\sigma$ (we can have also $h \circ g = F^s_{U'/k}$).

Proposition 1.3.4. *Let $a\colon X \to P$ be a dominant morphism of smooth integral k-varieties. Let $Z \hookrightarrow X$ be a proper closed subset. Then, for s large enough, there exists a dense open subvariety U of P, such that, putting $W := (X^\sigma \times_{P^\sigma} U)_{\mathrm{red}}$ (where $X^\sigma \times_{P^\sigma} U$ means the base change of X^σ by the composition of $F^s_{U/k}\colon U \to U^\sigma$ with the open immersion $U^\sigma \subset P^\sigma$), there exists a projective, generically finite and etale U-morphism of the form $\phi\colon W' \to W$ such that W' is integral and smooth over U, $Z' := \phi^{-1}(Z^\sigma \times_{P^\sigma} U)_{\mathrm{red}}$ is the support of a strict normal crossing divisor in W' relatively to U.*

Proof. Using the lemma 1.3.2 and the remark 1.3.3, we get with their notation the diagram of morphisms of k-schemes



where $T := (X^{\sigma}\times_{P^{\sigma}}U)\times_{(X^{\sigma}\times_{P^{\sigma}}U'^{\sigma})}(X^{\sigma}\times_{P^{\sigma}}U'^{\sigma})_{\mathrm{red}}$ and $W' := \widetilde{V}'^{\sigma}\times_{U'^{\sigma}}U$. Since W' is smooth over U and U is smooth, then W' is smooth (and in particular integral since it is irreducible). Since $W'\to T$ is projective, generically finite and etale (i.e. there exists a dense open set T' of T such that its base change by the open immersion $T'\to T$ is finite and etale), this implies that the factorization $\phi\colon W'\to T_{\mathrm{red}}$ is also projective, generically finite and etale (notice that $W'\to T$ is surjective and then apply [SGA1, I.9.2]). We remark that $T_{\mathrm{red}} = (X^{\sigma}\times_{P^{\sigma}}U)_{\mathrm{red}} =: W$. Finally $Z' := \phi^{-1}(Z^{\sigma}\times_{P^{\sigma}}U)_{\mathrm{red}}$ is the support of a strict normal crossing divisor relatively to U. □

Lemma 1.3.5. *Let $f\colon\mathcal{P}'\to\mathcal{P}$ be a finite, surjective morphism of smooth formal $\mathcal{V}$-schemes. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a coherent $\mathcal{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathcal{P},\mathbb{Q}}$-module. Then $\mathcal{E}$ is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P},\mathbb{Q}}$-coherent if and only if $f^{!}(\mathcal{E})$ is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}',\mathbb{Q}}$-coherent.*

Proof. If $\mathcal{E}$ is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P},\mathbb{Q}}$-coherent then we check the isomorphism $f^{!}(\mathcal{E})\xrightarrow{\sim} f^{*}(\mathcal{E})$ (see the notation of the paper). This yields that $f^{!}(\mathcal{E})$ is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}',\mathbb{Q}}$-coherent. Conversely, suppose $f^{!}(\mathcal{E})$ is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}',\mathbb{Q}}$-coherent. First, suppose that f is finite and étale. Since $f_{+} = f_{*}$, we get that $f_{+}f^{!}(\mathcal{E})$ is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P},\mathbb{Q}}$-coherent. Since $\mathcal{E}$ is a direct factor of $f_{+}f^{!}(\mathcal{E})$, then $\mathcal{E}$ is also $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P},\mathbb{Q}}$-coherent. Now, by decomposing f into a universal homeomorphism followed by a finite etale morphism, then we reduce to the case where f is a universal homeomorphism. In that case, using the remark 1.3.3, for s large enough, $F^{s}_{\mathcal{P}'/\mathcal{V}}\colon\mathcal{P}'\to\mathcal{P}'^{\sigma}$ factors throught f. Hence, since from [Ber00] the functor $(F^{s}_{\mathcal{P}'/\mathcal{V}})^{*}$ induces an equivalence of categories (for coherent $\mathcal{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathbb{Q}}$-modules) and using the easy implication of the lemma, we can suppose that $f = F^{s}_{\mathcal{P}'/\mathcal{V}}$ (and $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}'^{\sigma}$). In that case, from the isomorphism given just after Theorem [Ber00, 4.2.4] and from the definition of $f^{!}$ given in [Ber02, 4.3.2.2], we get that $f^{!}(\mathcal{E})\xrightarrow{\sim} f^{*}(\mathcal{E})$ (this is less obvious when $\mathcal{E}$ is only $\mathcal{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathcal{P},\mathbb{Q}}$-coherent). We can suppose $\mathcal{P}$ affine. Since $\mathcal{E}$ is a coherent $\mathcal{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathcal{P},\mathbb{Q}}$-module, this is sufficient to check that $\Gamma(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{E})$ is of finite type over $\Gamma(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P},\mathbb{Q}})$ (see [Car06, 2.2.13]). Since the extension $\Gamma(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P},\mathbb{Q}})\to\Gamma(\mathcal{P}',\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}',\mathbb{Q}})$ is faithfully flat (because $f\colon P'\to P$ is faithfully flat), since $\Gamma(\mathcal{P}',f^{!}\mathcal{E})\xrightarrow{\sim}\Gamma(\mathcal{P}',\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}',\mathbb{Q}})\otimes_{\Gamma(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P},\mathbb{Q}})}\Gamma(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{E})$ is of finite type over $\Gamma(\mathcal{P}',\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}',\mathbb{Q}})$, we conclude. □

1.4 The result

Lemma 1.4.1. *Let $\alpha\colon\widetilde{X}\to X$ be a finite étale surjective morphism of smooth k-varieties. Let $\mathcal{E}\in D^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{ovhol}}(X/K)$ (resp. $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}\in D^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{ovhol}}(\widetilde{X}/K)$). The property $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}\in D^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{isoc}}(\widetilde{X}/K)$ is equivalent to the property $\alpha_{+}(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}})\in D^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{isoc}}(X/K)$. The property $\mathcal{E}\in D^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{isoc}}(X/K)$ is equivalent to the property $\alpha^{+}(\mathcal{E})\in D^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{isoc}}(\widetilde{X}/K)$.*

Proof. Left to the reader. □

Notation 1.4.2. Let X be an integral variety and $\mathcal{E}\in F\text{-Ovhol}(X/K)$. Then, there exists a smooth dense open subvariety Y of X such that $\mathcal{E}|Y\in F\text{-Isoc}^{\dagger\dagger}(Y/K)$ (see the notation [AC13b, 1.2.14] and use [Car07, 2.3.2]). Then, by definition, $\mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{E})$ means the rank of $\mathcal{E}|Y$ (which does not depend on the choice of such open dense subvariety Y).

Theorem 1.4.3. *Let $\mathcal{P}_1$ be a smooth separated formal $\mathcal{V}$-scheme, $\mathcal{P}_2$ be a proper smooth formal $\mathcal{V}$-scheme, $\mathcal{P} := \mathcal{P}_1\times\mathcal{P}_2$ and $\mathrm{pr}\colon\mathcal{P}\to\mathcal{P}_1$ be the projection. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a complex of F-$D^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{ovhol}}(\mathcal{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathcal{P},\mathbb{Q}})$.*

Then there exists an open dense formal subscheme $\mathfrak{U}_1$ of $\mathcal{P}_1$ such that, for any finite étale surjective morphisms of the form $\alpha_1\colon \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_1 \to \mathcal{P}_1$ and $\alpha_2\colon \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_2 \to \mathcal{P}_2$, putting $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}} = \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_1 \times \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_2$, $\alpha\colon \widetilde{\mathcal{P}} \to \mathcal{P}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}} := \alpha^+(\mathcal{E})$, we have $(\mathrm{pr}\circ\alpha)_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}})|\mathfrak{U}_1 \in D^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}_1,\mathbb{Q}})$.

Proof. I) We can suppose that $\alpha_1 = Id$. Indeed, consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_1 \times \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_2 & \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} & \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_1 \times \mathcal{P}_2 & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}} & \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_1 \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \alpha_1} & \square & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \alpha_1} & \square & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \alpha_1} \\
\mathcal{P}_1 \times \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_2 & \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} & \mathcal{P}_1 \times \mathcal{P}_2 & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}} & \mathcal{P}_1.
\end{array}$$

Suppose there exists an open dense formal subscheme $\mathfrak{U}_1$ of $\mathcal{P}_1$ such that $\mathrm{pr}_+ \circ \alpha_{2+}(\alpha_2^+(\mathcal{E}))|\mathfrak{U}_1 \in D^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}_1,\mathbb{Q}})$. Using base change isomorphism, we get

$$\alpha_{1+}\alpha_1^+\mathrm{pr}_+ \circ \alpha_{2+}(\alpha_2^+(\mathcal{E})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \alpha_{1+}\mathrm{pr}_+\alpha_{2+}\alpha_1^+(\alpha_2^+(\mathcal{E})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \alpha_{1+}\mathrm{pr}_+\alpha_{2+}(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{pr}_+\alpha_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}).$$

From Lemma 1.4.1, this implies that $(\mathrm{pr}\circ\alpha)_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}})|\mathfrak{U}_1 \in D^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}_1,\mathbb{Q}})$.

II) We proceed by induction on the dimension of the support X of $\mathcal{E}$. Since the case where $X \to P_1$ is not dominant is obvious (indeed, we can choose $\mathfrak{U}_1$ to be an open not containing the image), we suppose that $X \to P_1$ is dominant. There exists a smooth dense open subvariety Y of X such that $\mathcal{E}|Y \in F\text{-}D^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{isoc}}(Y,\mathcal{P}/K)$ (see the notation [AC13b, 1.2.14] and use [Car07, 2.3.2]). Let $j\colon Y \subset X$ be the inclusion. By devissage and by using the induction hypothesis, we can suppose Y integral, that $\mathcal{E}$ is a module and that $\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} j_+j^!(\mathcal{E})$ with $j^!(\mathcal{E}) \in F\text{-}\mathrm{Isoc}^{\dagger\dagger}(Y,\mathcal{P}/K)$. We put $Z := X \setminus Y$. By abuse of notation (to simplify them), $\mathcal{P}_1$ will mean a dense open set $\mathfrak{U}_1$ of $\mathcal{P}_1$ (be careful that the open set has to be independent of the choice of α_2), X and $\mathcal{P}$ will mean the base change of X and $\mathcal{P}$ by the inclusion $\mathfrak{U}_1 \subset \mathcal{P}_1$.

1) From Kedlaya's semistable reduction theorem (more precisely the global one, i.e. [Ked11, 2.4.4]), there exists a surjective, projective, generically finite étale morphism $a\colon X' \to X$, with X' integral and smooth such that $Z' := a^{-1}(Z)$ is the support of a strict normal crossing divisor of X' and, putting $Y' := a^{-1}(Y)$, $a^+(\mathcal{E})|Y'$ extends to a log-F-isocrystal on the smooth log scheme (X',Z') (i.e. the underlying scheme is X' and the log structure comes from the strict normal crossing divisor Z'). Since a is projective, there exists a closed immersion of the form $u'\colon X' \hookrightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{P}}^N \times \mathcal{P}$ (this is the product in the category of formal scheme over $\mathcal{V}$) such that the composition of u' with the projection $f\colon \widehat{\mathbb{P}}^N \times \mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{P}$ induces a. Since $a_+ \circ a^+(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}})$ is a direct factor of $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}$ (with our convention, a is the base change of a with respect to α), then $\mathrm{pr}_+a_+\alpha_+ \circ a^+(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}})$ is a direct factor of $\mathrm{pr}_+\alpha_+\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}$. With the remark that the last a_+ is equal to the push forward by $\widehat{\mathbb{P}}^N \times \mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{P}$, this implies that we can reduce to the case where X is smooth, Z is the support of a strict normal crossing divisor of X and $\mathcal{E}|Y$ extends to a log-F-isocrystal on (X,Z).

2) From Proposition 1.3.4, replacing $\mathcal{P}_1$ by an open dense formal subscheme if necessary and for s large enough, putting $W := (X^\sigma \times_{P_1^\sigma} P_1)_{\mathrm{red}}$, there exists a projective, surjective, generically finite and etale P_1-morphism of the form $\phi\colon W' \to W$ such that W' is integral and smooth over P_1, $Z' := \phi^{-1}(Z^\sigma \times_{P_1^\sigma} P_1)_{\mathrm{red}}$ is the support of a strict normal crossing divisor in W' relatively to P_1. We set $Y' := \phi^{-1}(Y^\sigma \times_{P_1^\sigma} P_1)_{\mathrm{red}}$. Since ϕ is projective, for some integer N putting $\mathcal{P}_3 := \widehat{\mathbb{P}}^N \times \mathcal{P}_2^\sigma$ and taking $f\colon \mathcal{P}_3 \to \mathcal{P}_2^\sigma$ to be the projection, we get the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
Z' & \hookrightarrow & W' & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{P}' = \mathcal{P}_3 \times \mathcal{P}_1 & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}'} & \mathcal{P}_1 \\
\downarrow & \square & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} & & \| \\
(Z^\sigma \times_{P_1^\sigma} P_1)_{\mathrm{red}} & \hookrightarrow & W = (X^\sigma \times_{P_1^\sigma} P_1)_{\mathrm{red}} & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{P}_2^\sigma \times \mathcal{P}_1 & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}^\sigma} & \mathcal{P}_1 \\
\downarrow & \square_{\mathrm{red}} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle a} & \square_{\mathrm{red}} & \downarrow & \square & \downarrow{\scriptstyle F^s_{\mathcal{P}_1/\mathcal{V}}} \\
Z^\sigma & \hookrightarrow & X^\sigma & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{P}_2^\sigma \times \mathcal{P}_1^\sigma & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}^\sigma} & \mathcal{P}_1^\sigma,
\end{array}$$

where $\mathrm{pr}'\colon \mathcal{P}_3 \to \mathrm{Spf}\,\mathcal{V}$ is the structural morphism. We put $\mathcal{G} := a^!(\mathcal{E}^\sigma) = (F^s_{\mathcal{P}_1/\mathcal{V}})^!(\mathcal{E}^\sigma)$ and $\mathcal{G}' := \phi^!(\mathcal{G}) = \mathbb{R}\underline{\Gamma}^\dagger_{W'} f^!(\mathcal{G})$. Since $a \circ \phi$ induces the morphism of smooth log-schemes $(W',Z') \to (X^\sigma,Z^\sigma)$, since $\mathcal{E}^\sigma|Y^\sigma$ extends to a log-F-isocrystal on (X^σ,Z^σ) then $\mathcal{G}'|Y'$ extends to a log-F-isocrystal on (W',Z').

3) Let $Z'_1,\dots,Z'_{r'}$ be the irreducible components of Z'. For any subset I' of $\{1,\dots,r'\}$, we set $Z'_{I'}:=\cap_{i'\in I'}Z_{i'}$. Then $|\mathrm{Car}(\mathcal{G}')|\subset\cup_{I'\subset\{1,\dots,r'\}}T^*_{Z'_{I'}}P'$. Indeed, since the check is local on P', we can suppose P' affine with local coordinates $t'_1,\dots,t'_{d'}$ inducing local coordinates $\overline{t}'_1,\dots,\overline{t}'_{n'}$ of W' and such that $Z'_{i'}=V(\overline{t}'_{i'})$ for $i'=1,\dots,r'$. From [SGA1], there exists a smooth affine formal $\mathcal{V}$-scheme $\mathfrak{W}'$ whose special fiber is W'. Let $u\colon\mathfrak{W}'\hookrightarrow\mathcal{P}'$ be a lifting of $W'\hookrightarrow P'$ and let $\mathcal{F}':=u^!(\mathcal{G}')$. From [Car15, 1.4.3.1], we have $|\mathrm{Car}(\mathcal{F}')|\subset\cup_{I'\subset\{1,\dots,r'\}}T^*_{Z'_{I'}}W'$. Since $\mathcal{G}'\xrightarrow{\sim}u_+(\mathcal{F}')$, from [Ber02, 5.3.3], we get $|\mathrm{Car}(\mathcal{G}')|=\mathscr{T}_u(|\mathrm{Car}(\mathcal{F}')|)$. Using 1.1.2, we get $\mathscr{T}_u(T^*_{Z'_{I'}}W')=T^*_{Z'_{I'}}P'$, which gives the desired result.

4) We put $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}':=\alpha_2^{\sigma+}(\mathcal{G}')$. We have $|\mathrm{Car}(\mathrm{pr}'_+\alpha^\sigma_{2+}(\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}'))|\subset T^*_{P_1}P_1$.

Proof: Since pr' is proper, from 1.2.5, we get the inclusion

$$|\mathrm{Car}(\mathrm{pr}'_+\alpha^\sigma_{2+}(\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}'))|\subset\mathscr{T}_{\mathrm{pr}'}(|\mathrm{Car}(\alpha^\sigma_{2+}(\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}'))|).$$

Using 1.2.7.1, we get $|\mathrm{Car}(\alpha^\sigma_{2+}\alpha_2^{\sigma+}(\mathcal{G}')|=|\mathrm{Car}(\mathcal{G}')|$. Hence,

$$\mathscr{T}_{\mathrm{pr}'}(|\mathrm{Car}(\alpha^\sigma_{2+}(\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}'))|)=\mathscr{T}_{\mathrm{pr}'}(|\mathrm{Car}(\mathcal{G}')|)\subset\mathscr{T}_{\mathrm{pr}'}(\cup_{I'\subset\{1,\dots,r'\}}T^*_{Z'_{I'}}P')=\cup_{I'\subset\{1,\dots,r'\}}(\mathscr{T}_{\mathrm{pr}'}(T^*_{Z'_{I'}}P')),$$

where the inclusion comes from the step 3). Moreover, from 1.1.2, $\mathscr{T}_{u_{I'}}(T^*_{Z'_{I'}}Z'_{I'})=T^*_{Z'_{I'}}P'$, where $u_{I'}\colon Z'_{I'}\hookrightarrow P'$ is the closed immersion. By transitivity of the application $\mathscr{T}$ (see 1.1.1), $\mathscr{T}_{\mathrm{pr}'}(T^*_{Z'_{I'}}P')=\mathscr{T}_{\mathrm{pr}'}(\mathscr{T}_{u_{I'}}(T^*_{Z'_{I'}}Z'_{I'}))=\mathscr{T}_{pr'\circ u_{I'}}(T^*_{Z'_{I'}}Z'_{I'})$. Since $pr'\circ u_{I'}\colon Z'_{I'}\to P_1$ is smooth, using 1.1.4, we get the inclusion $\mathscr{T}_{pr'\circ u_{I'}}(T^*_{Z'_{I'}}Z'_{I'})\subset T^*_{P_1}P_1$, which yields the desired result.

5) $\mathcal{G}$ is a direct factor of $\phi_+(\mathcal{G}')$ (which is by definition $f_+(\mathcal{G}')$).

a) Put $\psi:=Y'\to(Y^\sigma\times_{P_1^\sigma}P_1)_{\mathrm{red}}$ and $b\colon(Y^\sigma\times_{P_1^\sigma}P_1)_{\mathrm{red}}\to Y^\sigma$ the morphisms induced respectively by ϕ and a. We have the isomorphism $b^!\xrightarrow{\sim}b^+$. Indeed, from [AC13b, 1.3.12], since b is a universal homeomorphism, the functors $b^!$ and b_+ induce quasi-inverse equivalences of categories (for categories of overholonomic complexes). Since b is proper, then $b_+=b_!$ (i.e., via the biduality isomorphism, b_+ commutes with dual functors). Hence, we get that $b^!$ commutes also with dual functors.

b) Since $\theta:=b\circ\psi$ is a morphism of smooth varieties and $\mathcal{E}^\sigma|Y^\sigma$ is an isocrystal, then $\theta^!(\mathcal{E}^\sigma|Y^\sigma)\xrightarrow{\sim}\theta^+(\mathcal{E}^\sigma|Y^\sigma)$. Hence, since θ is proper, we get the morphisms by adjunction $\mathcal{E}^\sigma|Y^\sigma\to\theta_+\theta^+(\mathcal{E}^\sigma|Y^\sigma)\xrightarrow{\sim}\theta_+\theta^!(\mathcal{E}^\sigma|Y^\sigma)\to\mathcal{E}^\sigma|Y^\sigma$. The composition is an isomorphism. Indeed, since $\mathcal{E}^\sigma|Y^\sigma$ is an isocrystal, we reduce to check it on a dense open subset of Y^σ. Hence, we can suppose that ψ and b are morphisms of smooth varieties. Using [AC13b, 1.3.12] and the transitivity of the adjunction morphisms, we reduce to check such property for ψ. Since ψ is generically finite and etale, this is already known.

c) We have just checked that $\mathcal{E}^\sigma|Y^\sigma$ is a direct factor of $\theta_+\theta^!(\mathcal{E}^\sigma|Y^\sigma)$. This implies that $b^!(\mathcal{E}^\sigma|Y^\sigma)$ is a direct factor of $b^!\theta_+\theta^!(\mathcal{E}^\sigma|Y^\sigma)\xrightarrow{\sim}\psi_+\theta^!(\mathcal{E}^\sigma|Y^\sigma)\xrightarrow{\sim}\psi_+(\mathcal{G}'|Y')$. Since $(Y^\sigma\times_{P_1^\sigma}P_1)_{\mathrm{red}}=a^{-1}(Y^\sigma)$, we get the morphism $j^\sigma\colon(Y^\sigma\times_{P_1^\sigma}P_1)_{\mathrm{red}}\to(X^\sigma\times_{P_1^\sigma}P_1)_{\mathrm{red}}=W$. We have also $j^\sigma\colon Y'\to W'$. We get $j^\sigma_+b^!(\mathcal{E}^\sigma|Y^\sigma)$ is a direct factor of $j^\sigma_+\psi_+(\mathcal{G}'|Y')$. By base change isomorphism, since $\mathcal{E}^\sigma\xrightarrow{\sim}j^\sigma_+j^{\sigma!}\mathcal{E}^\sigma$ we obtain $\mathcal{G}'\xrightarrow{\sim}j^\sigma_+j^{\sigma!}\mathcal{G}'$. This yields $\phi_+(\mathcal{G}')\xrightarrow{\sim}\phi_+j^\sigma_+(\mathcal{G}'|Y')\xrightarrow{\sim}j^\sigma_+\psi_+(\mathcal{G}'|Y')$ and $\mathcal{G}\xrightarrow{\sim}a^!j^\sigma_+(\mathcal{E}^\sigma|Y^\sigma)\xrightarrow{\sim}j^\sigma_+b^!(\mathcal{E}^\sigma|Y^\sigma)$, which gives the desired result.

6) Putting $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}:=\alpha^\sigma_{2+}(\mathcal{G})$, (using some base change isomorphism) the step 5) implies that $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ is a direct factor of $\phi_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}')=f_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}')$. Since $\mathrm{pr}'_+\alpha^\sigma_{2+}(\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}')\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathrm{pr}^\sigma_+\alpha^\sigma_{2+}\phi_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}')$, we obtain $|\mathrm{Car}(\mathrm{pr}^\sigma_+\alpha^\sigma_{2+}(\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}))|\subset|\mathrm{Car}(\mathrm{pr}'_+\alpha^\sigma_{2+}(\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}'))|$. From part 4), this yields $|\mathrm{Car}(\mathrm{pr}^\sigma_+\alpha^\sigma_{2+}(\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}))|\subset T^*_{P_1}P_1$. Let $\widetilde{\mathrm{pr}}\colon\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_2\to\mathrm{Spf}\,\mathcal{V}$ be the structural morphism. Since $\mathrm{pr}^\sigma_+\alpha^\sigma_{2+}(\widetilde{\mathcal{G}})=\widetilde{\mathrm{pr}}^\sigma_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{G}})$, this inclusion is equivalent to say that $\widetilde{\mathrm{pr}}^\sigma_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{G}})\in D^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}_1,\mathbb{Q}})$. Since $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}\xrightarrow{\sim}a^!(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}^\sigma)$, we obtain $\widetilde{\mathrm{pr}}^\sigma_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{G}})\xrightarrow{\sim}\widetilde{\mathrm{pr}}^\sigma_+(a^!(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}^\sigma))\xrightarrow{\sim}(F^s_{\mathcal{P}_1/\mathcal{V}})^!\widetilde{\mathrm{pr}}^\sigma_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}^\sigma)$. From Lemma 1.3.5, this implies that $\widetilde{\mathrm{pr}}^\sigma_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}^\sigma)\in D^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}_1^\sigma,\mathbb{Q}})$, which yields $\widetilde{\mathrm{pr}}_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}})\in D^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}_1,\mathbb{Q}})$.

□

2 Betti numbers estimates

2.1 The curve case

Lemma 2.1.1. *Let $f\colon Y \to X$ be a smooth morphism of integral smooth k-varieties of relative dimension d. Let $\mathcal{F} \in F\text{-Isoc}^{\dagger\dagger}(Y/K)$ such that $f_+(\mathcal{F}) \in F\text{-}D^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{isoc}}(X/K)$. Then we have the inequalities:*

$$\mathrm{rk} H^{-d} f_+(\mathcal{F}) \leq \mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{F}); \tag{2.1.1.1}$$

$$\mathrm{rk} H^{d} f_!(\mathcal{F}) \leq \mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{F}). \tag{2.1.1.2}$$

Proof. 0) Since $f_!(\mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (f_+(\mathcal{F}^\vee))^\vee$, where $\vee$ means the $\mathcal{O}$-linear dual functor. Hence, we reduce to check the first inequality. Let x be a closed point of X and $i_x\colon x \hookrightarrow X$ be the canonical closed immersion. By base change, $i_x^!\mathrm{[dim} Y] \circ f_+(\mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\sim} f_+ \circ i_x^!\mathrm{[dim} Y](\mathcal{F})$. Since $i_x^!\mathrm{[dim} Y]$ is exact over the categories of the form $D^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{isoc}}$ and preserves the rank, we can suppose that $X = \mathrm{Spec}\, k$.

1) We check that for any open dense subset V of Y, we have $H^{-d} p_{V+}(\mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{-d} p_{Y+}(\mathcal{F}|V)$ (see our convention). Indeed, let V be a open dense subset of Y. Choose a smooth stratification $V_0, V_1, \ldots, V_r$ of Y such that $V_0 = V$. We denote by $j_{V_i}\colon V_i \hookrightarrow Y$ the canonical immersion. Since V_0 is dense in Y, then for any $i > 0$, $\dim V_i < d$. Since $\mathcal{F}$ is an isocrystal, this implies that for $i > 0$ we have $H^{-d} p_{V_i+} j_{V_i}^!(\mathcal{F}) = 0$ and $H^{-d+1} p_{V_i+} j_{V_i}^!(\mathcal{F}) = 0$, which yields the desired isomorphism by devissage.

2) We check the lemma in the case where $d = 1$. From 1), we can suppose that Y is affine. Choose a smooth compactification $\overline{Y}$ of Y and put $D := \overline{Y} \setminus Y$. Choose a point y of D. With the notation [Cre98, 7.1.1], we associate to $\mathcal{F}$ an $A_Y^\dagger$-module module M endowed with a connexion. Then $H^{-1} p_{Y+}(\mathcal{F})$ is equal to the horizontal sections of M. Let $A(y)$ be the Robba ring (or local algebra following [Cre98]) corresponding to y (see the notation of [Cre98, 7.3]). Using [Cre98, 6.2] we get that the dimension over K of the K-vector space of the horizontal sections of $M \otimes_{A_Y^\dagger} A(y)$ (which is bigger than that of M) is less or equal to the rank of M (which is also the rank of $\mathcal{F}$).

3) Now we prove the lemma by induction on d. Suppose $d \geq 2$. From part 1) of the proof, we can suppose that there exists an étale morphism of the form $\phi\colon Y \to \mathbb{A}^d_k$. Let g be the composite of ϕ with the projection $\mathbb{A}^1_k \times \mathbb{A}^{d-1}_k \to \mathbb{A}^{d-1}_k$. There exists a dense open U of $\mathbb{A}^{d-1}_k$ such that $g_+(\mathcal{F})|U \in F\text{-}D^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{isoc}}(U/K)$. Let $V := g^{-1}(U)$ and $h\colon V \to U$ the induced smooth morphism of relative dimension 1. From parts 0) and 2), we get $\mathrm{rk}\mathcal{H}^{-1}h_+(\mathcal{F}|V) \leq \mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{F}|V) = \mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{F})$. By using the induction hypothesis, we obtain $\mathrm{rk} H^{-d+1} p_{U+}(\mathcal{H}^{-1}h_+(\mathcal{F}|V)) \leq \mathrm{rk}\mathcal{H}^{-1}h_+(\mathcal{F}|V)$. Since $H^{-d} p_{V+}(\mathcal{F}|V) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{-d+1} p_{U+}(\mathcal{H}^{-1}h_+(\mathcal{F}|V))$ (because $p_{U+}[-d+1]$ and $h[-1]$ are left exact), we conclude. □

Lemma 2.1.2. *We suppose that k is algebraically closed. Let X be a smooth irreducible curve, $j\colon U \hookrightarrow X$ an open immersion such that $Z := X \setminus U$ is a closed point. Let $\mathcal{F} \in F\text{-Isoc}^{\dagger\dagger}(U/K)$. Let $i\colon Z \hookrightarrow X$ be the closed immersion. Then, for $n = 0, 1$, we have the inequality*

$$\dim_K H^n i^! j_!(\mathcal{F}) \leq \mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{F}). \tag{2.1.2.1}$$

Proof. Let $\theta_j\colon j_! \to j_+$ be the canonical morphism. Since $i^! j_+ = 0$, since the cone of θ_j has its support in Z, then by using Berthelot-Kashiwara theorem (see [Ber02, 5.3.3]) we get $\ker(\theta_j) \xrightarrow{\sim} i_+ H^0 i^! j_!$ and $\mathrm{coker}(\theta_j) \xrightarrow{\sim} i_+ H^1 i^! j_!$. From [AC13b, 1.4.3], we obtain $\mathbb{D}_X i_+ H^0 i^! j_!(\mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\sim} i_+ H^1 i^! j_!(\mathbb{D}_U(\mathcal{F}))$. Hence, $H^0 i^! j_!(\mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{D}_Z H^1 i^! j_!(\mathbb{D}_U(\mathcal{F}))$ and we reduce to check the case $n = 1$.

We have the exact sequence $0 \to j_{!+}(\mathcal{F}) \to j_+(\mathcal{F}) \to i_+ H^1 i^! j_!(\mathcal{F}) \to 0$. From Kedlaya's semistable theorem [Ked03], there exists a finite surjective morphism $f\colon P' \to X$, with P' smooth integral, such that $f^!(\mathcal{F})$ comes from a convergent isocrystal on P' with logarithmic poles along $f^{-1}(Z)$. Since P' and X are smooth, since f is finite and surjective then f is flat (e.g. see [EGAIV4, IV.15.4.2]). Let X' be an open dense subset of P' such that $Z' := f^{-1}(Z) \cap X'$ is a closed point. We get the (quasi-finite) flat morphism $a\colon X' \to X$ and the open immersion $j\colon U' := a^{-1}(U) \to X'$. Since a is flat and quasi-finite, then $a^!$ is exact. Hence, we get the exact sequence $0 \to a^! j_{!+}(\mathcal{F}) \to a^! j_+(\mathcal{F}) \to a^! i_+ H^1 i^! j_!(\mathcal{F}) \to 0$. From [AC13b, 1.4.8], the inclusion $j_{!+}(a^!(\mathcal{F})) \hookrightarrow j_+(a^!(\mathcal{F}))$ factors through the composition $a^! j_{!+}(\mathcal{F}) \hookrightarrow a^! j_+(\mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\sim} j_+ a^!(\mathcal{F})$. Then, we get the epimorphism

$$i_+ H^1 i^! j_!(a^!\mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\sim} j_+(a^!(\mathcal{F}))/j_{!+}(a^!(\mathcal{F})) \twoheadrightarrow a^! j_+(\mathcal{F})/a^! j_{!+}(\mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\sim} a^! i_+ H^1 i^! j_!(\mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\sim} i_+ H^1 i^! j_!(\mathcal{F})$$

(we have the last isomorphism because k is algebraically closed and then a induces the isomorphism $a^{-1}(Z) \xrightarrow{\sim} Z$). By applying $i^!$ (and by using Berthelot-Kashiwara theorem), we get $\dim_K H^1 i^! j_! (\mathcal{F}) \leq \dim_K H^1 i^! j_! (a^! \mathcal{F})$. Since $a^! \mathcal{F}$ is log-extendable, then from [AC13b, 3.4.12.1] we obtain the inequality $\dim_K H^1 i^! j_! (a^! \mathcal{F}) \leq \mathrm{rk}(a^! \mathcal{F}) = \mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{F})$. □

Since the proof of the main result on Betti estimate (see 2.2.5) in the case of curves is easier (e.g. remark that we do not need in this case the Lemma 1.4.3) and since its proof is made by induction, we first check separately this curve case via the following lemma.

Proposition 2.1.3 (Curve case)**.** *Suppose k is algebraically closed. Let X_1 be a projective, smooth and connected curve, $\mathcal{E} \in F\text{-}D^{\leq 0}(X_1/K)$ (see the notation of [AC13b, 1.2]). There exists a constant $c(\mathcal{E})$ such that, for any finite étale morphism of degree d_1 of the form $\alpha\colon \widetilde{X}_1 \to X_1$ with $\widetilde{X}_1$ connected, by putting $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}} := \alpha^+(\mathcal{E})$, we have*

1. $\dim_K H^1 p_{\widetilde{X}_{1+}}(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}) \leq c(\mathcal{E})$;
2. *For any $r \leq 0$, $\dim_K H^r p_{\widetilde{X}_{1+}}(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}) \leq c(\mathcal{E}) d_1$.*

Proof. There exists an open dense affine subvariety U_1 of X_1 such that $\mathcal{E}|U_1 \in F\text{-}D^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{isoc}}(U_1/K)$, Let Z_1 be the closed subvariety $X_1 \setminus U_1$, $j\colon U_1 \hookrightarrow X_1$ and $i\colon Z_1 \hookrightarrow X_1$ be the immersions. We put $\widetilde{U}_1 := \alpha_1^{-1}(U_1)$, $\widetilde{Z}_1 := \alpha_1^{-1}(Z_1)$ i.e we get the cartesian squares:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \widetilde{Z}_1 & \xrightarrow{i} & \widetilde{X}_1 & \xleftarrow{j} & \widetilde{U}_1 \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \alpha_1} & \square & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \alpha_1} & \square & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \alpha_1} \\ Z_1 & \xrightarrow{i} & X_1 & \xleftarrow{j} & U_1. \end{array}$$

By considering the exact triangle $j_! j^!(\mathcal{E}) \to \mathcal{E} \to i_+ i^+(\mathcal{E}) \to +1$ we reduce to check the proposition for $\mathcal{E} = j_! j^!(\mathcal{E})$ or $\mathcal{E} = i_+ i^+(\mathcal{E})$ (and because the functors $j_! j^!$ and $i_+ i^+$ preserve $D^{\leq 0}$).

1) In the case where $\mathcal{E} = i_+ i^+(\mathcal{E})$, we can suppose that Z_1 is a point. We put $\mathcal{G} := i^+(\mathcal{E})$. Since $\widetilde{Z}_1$ is d_1 copies of Z_1, then we get $\alpha_{1+}\alpha_1^+ \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{G}^{d_1}$ and then $p_{\widetilde{X}_{1+}}(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} p_{X_{1+}}(i_+ \mathcal{G}^{d_1}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{G}^{d_1}$, which gives the desired result.

2) Suppose now $\mathcal{E} = j_! j^!(\mathcal{E})$. We put $\mathcal{F} = j^!(\mathcal{E})$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}} = j^!(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}})$. By devissage, we can suppose that $\mathcal{F}$ is a module, i.e. $\mathcal{F} \in F\text{-Isoc}^{\dagger\dagger}(U_1/K)$ (and then $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}} \in F\text{-Isoc}^{\dagger\dagger}(\widetilde{U}_1/K)$).

Since U_1 is affine, since $j_!$ is exact (and $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}} \xrightarrow{\sim} j_!(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}})$), for any $r \notin \{0,1\}$, we get $H^r p_{\widetilde{X}_{1+}}(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^r p_{\widetilde{U}_{1!}}(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}) = 0$. From 2.1.1.2, we get $\dim_K H^1 p_{\widetilde{X}_{1+}}(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}) = \dim_K H^1 p_{\widetilde{U}_{1!}}(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}) \leq \mathrm{rk}(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}) = \mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{F})$. It remains to estimate $|\chi(\widetilde{X}_1, \widetilde{\mathcal{E}})|$. From Lemma 1.2.7 we get the second equality $\chi(\widetilde{X}_1, \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}) = \chi(X_1, \alpha_{1+}\alpha_1^+(\mathcal{E})) = d_1 \cdot \chi(X_1, \mathcal{E})$. Hence, we can choose in that case $c(\mathcal{E}) = \max\{|\chi(X_1, \mathcal{E})|; \mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{F})\}$.

□

2.2 The result and some applications

In this subsection, we will need the Fourier transform (see 2.2.1). Hence, we assume here that there exists $\pi \in K$ such that $\pi^{p-1} = -p$. We fix such a π.

2.2.1 (Fourier transform)**.** Let S be a k-variety. Let us briefly review the geometric Fourier transform [NH04], only in the specific case of $\mathbb{A}^1_S/S$. We denote by $\mathcal{L}_\pi$ the Artin-Schreier isocrystal in $F\text{-Isoc}^{\dagger\dagger}(\mathbb{A}^1_k/K)$ (see [Ber84]). Let $\mu\colon \mathbb{A}^1_k \times (\mathbb{A}^1_k)' \to \mathbb{A}^1_k$ be the canonical duality bracket given by $t \mapsto xy$, where $(\mathbb{A}^1_S)'$ is the "dual affine space over S", which is nothing but $\mathbb{A}^1_S$. We denote the composition by $\mu_S\colon \mathbb{A}^1_S \times_S (\mathbb{A}^1_S)' \to \mathbb{A}^1_k \times (\mathbb{A}^1_k)' \to \mathbb{A}^1_k$.

Now, consider the following diagram: $(\mathbb{A}^1_S)' \xleftarrow{p_2} \mathbb{A}^1_S \times_S (\mathbb{A}^1_S)' \xrightarrow{p_1} \mathbb{A}^1_S$. Similarly to Katz and Laumon in [KL85, 7.1.4, 7.1.5] (in fact, here is the particular case where $r = 1$), for any $\mathcal{E} \in F\text{-}D^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{ovhol}}(\mathbb{A}^1_S)$, the geometric Fourier transform $\mathscr{F}_\pi(\mathcal{E})$ is defined to be

$$\mathscr{F}_\pi(\mathcal{E}) := p_{2+}\big(p_1^! \mathcal{E} \widetilde{\otimes}_{\mathbb{A}^2_S} \mu_S^! \mathcal{L}_\pi\big)$$

(cf. [NH04, 3.2.1][1]). Here $\widetilde{\otimes}$ is compatible with Laumon's notation (see [KL85, 7.0.1, page 192]) and was defined in

[1] Notice that our twisted tensor product and hers are the same.

the context of arithmetic $\mathcal{D}$-modules in [AC13b, 1.1.6].

2.2.2. An important property for us of Fourier transform is the following. The functor $\mathscr{F}_\pi$ is acyclic, i.e. if $\mathcal{E} \in F\text{-Ovhol}(\mathbb{A}^1_S/K)$ then $\mathscr{F}_\pi(\mathcal{E}) \in F\text{-Ovhol}((\mathbb{A}^1_S)'/K)$ (cf. [NH04, Theorem.3.1]).

Lemma 2.2.3. *Let $f\colon T \to S$ be a morphism of k-varieties. Let $\mathcal{E} \in F\text{-}D^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{ovhol}}(\mathbb{A}^1_S/K)$ and $\mathcal{F} \in F\text{-}D^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{ovhol}}(\mathbb{A}^1_T/K)$. We have the canonical isomorphisms*

$$f^!\mathscr{F}_\pi(\mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathscr{F}_\pi(f^!\mathcal{E}); \tag{2.2.3.1}$$

$$f_+\mathscr{F}_\pi(\mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathscr{F}_\pi(f_+\mathcal{F}). \tag{2.2.3.2}$$

Proof. From base change isomorphism, we get the first isomorphism:

$$f^!\mathscr{F}_\pi(\mathcal{E}) = f^!p_{2+}\big(p_1^!\mathcal{E}\widetilde{\otimes}_{\mathbb{A}^2_S}\mu_S^!\mathcal{L}_\pi\big) \xrightarrow{\sim} p_{2+}f^!\big(p_1^!\mathcal{E}\widetilde{\otimes}_{\mathbb{A}^2_S}\mu_S^!\mathcal{L}_\pi\big) \xrightarrow{\sim} p_{2+}\big(f^!p_1^!\mathcal{E}\widetilde{\otimes}_{\mathbb{A}^2_T}f^!\mu_S^!\mathcal{L}_\pi\big).$$

Since $\mu_T = \mu_S \circ f$, we obtain $p_{2+}\big(f^!p_1^!\mathcal{E}\widetilde{\otimes}_{\mathbb{A}^2_T}f^!\mu_S^!\mathcal{L}_\pi\big) \xrightarrow{\sim} p_{2+}\big(p_1^!(f^!\mathcal{E})\widetilde{\otimes}_{\mathbb{A}^2_T}\mu_T^!\mathcal{L}_\pi\big) = \mathscr{F}_\pi(f^!\mathcal{E})$, which gives 2.2.3.1.

Moreover, similarly and by using the projection formula (see [AC13b, A.6]), we have

$$f_+\mathscr{F}_\pi(\mathcal{F}) = f_+p_{2+}\big(p_1^!(\mathcal{F})\widetilde{\otimes}_{\mathbb{A}^2_T}\mu_T^!\mathcal{L}_\pi\big) \xrightarrow{\sim} p_{2+}f_+\big(p_1^!(\mathcal{F})\widetilde{\otimes}_{\mathbb{A}^2_T}f^!\mu_S^!\mathcal{L}_\pi\big) \xrightarrow{\sim} p_{2+}\big(f_+p_1^!(\mathcal{F})\widetilde{\otimes}_{\mathbb{A}^2_S}\mu_S^!\mathcal{L}_\pi\big) \xrightarrow{\sim} \cdots$$
$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\sim} p_{2+}\big(p_1^!(f_+\mathcal{F})\widetilde{\otimes}_{\mathbb{A}^2_S}\mu_S^!\mathcal{L}_\pi\big) = \mathscr{F}_\pi(f_+\mathcal{F}).$$

□

We will use the following remark during the proof of the main theorem.

Remark 2.2.4. Let M be a solvable differential module on the Robba ring over K. We get the differential slope decomposition $M = \oplus M_\beta$, where M_β is purely of slope β. By definition $\mathrm{Irr}(M) := \sum_{\beta\geq 0}\beta\cdot\mathrm{rk}(M_\beta)$. Hence, we get that

$$\mathrm{Irr}(M) \leq \mathrm{rk}(M) + \mathrm{Irr}(M_{]1,\infty[}), \tag{2.2.4.1}$$

where $M_{]1,\infty[} := \oplus_{\beta\in]1,\infty[}M_\beta$.

Theorem 2.2.5. *Suppose k is algebraically closed. Let $(X_a)_{1\leq a\leq n}$ be projective, smooth and connected curves, $X = \prod_{a=1}^n X_a$, $\mathcal{E} \in F\text{-}D^{\leq 0}(X/K)$ (see the notation of [AC13b, 1.2]). There exists a constant $c(\mathcal{E})$ such that, for any finite étale morphism of degree d_a of the form $\alpha_a\colon \widetilde{X}_a \to X_a$ with $\widetilde{X}_a$ connected, by putting $\widetilde{X} = \prod_{a=1}^n \widetilde{X}_a$, $\alpha\colon \widetilde{X} \to X$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}} := \alpha^+(\mathcal{E})$, we have*

1. *For any r, $\dim_K H^r p_{\widetilde{X}+}(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}) \leq c(\mathcal{E})\prod_{a=1}^n d_a$.*
2. *For any $r \geq 1$, $\dim_K H^r p_{\widetilde{X}+}(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}) \leq c(\mathcal{E})\max\{\prod_{a\in A} d_a \,|\, A \subset \{1,\ldots,n\} \text{ and } |A| = n-r\}$.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The case $n = 1$ has already been checked in 2.1.3. Suppose $n \geq 2$. Let $\alpha_a\colon \widetilde{X}_a \to X_a$ be some finite étale morphism of degree d_a with $\widetilde{X}_a$ connected, $\widetilde{X} = \prod_{a=1}^n \widetilde{X}_a$, $\alpha\colon \widetilde{X} \to X$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}} := \alpha^+(\mathcal{E})$. We put $Y := \prod_{a\neq 1} X_a$, $\widetilde{Y} := \prod_{a\neq 1}\widetilde{X}_a$, $\beta\colon \widetilde{Y} \to Y$. Let $\mathrm{pr}\colon Y \to \mathrm{Spec}\,k$ and $\widetilde{\mathrm{pr}}\colon \widetilde{Y} \to \mathrm{Spec}\,k$ be the projections (recall that from the convention of this paper, for instance, $\widetilde{\mathrm{pr}}$ means also the projection $\widetilde{\mathrm{pr}}\colon \widetilde{X} = \widetilde{X}_1 \times \widetilde{Y} \to \widetilde{X}_1$ etc.). From Lemma 1.4.3, there exists an affine open dense subvariety U_1 (independent of the choice of α_i) of X_1 such that $\mathrm{pr}_+(\alpha_+\widetilde{\mathcal{E}})|U_1 \in D^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{isoc}}(U_1/K)$. Let Z_1 be the closed subvariety $X_1 \setminus U_1$, $\widetilde{U}_1 := \alpha_1^{-1}(U_1)$, $\widetilde{Z}_1 := \alpha_1^{-1}(Z_1)$. Let $j\colon U_1 \hookrightarrow X_1$ $i\colon Z_1 \hookrightarrow X_1$ be the inclusions.

We have $j^!\widetilde{\mathrm{pr}}_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}) = \widetilde{\mathrm{pr}}_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}})|\widetilde{U}_1 \in F\text{-}D^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{isoc}}(\widetilde{U}_1/K)$. Indeed, from 1.4.1, this is equivalent to prove $\alpha_{1+}(\widetilde{\mathrm{pr}}_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}))|U_1 \in D^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{isoc}}(U_1/K)$. Then, we get the desired property from the isomorphism $\alpha_{1+}(\widetilde{\mathrm{pr}}_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{pr}_+(\alpha_+\widetilde{\mathcal{E}})$.

Step I) With the notation 1.4.2, we check that there exists a constant c (only depending on $\mathcal{E}$) such that

- For any s, $\mathrm{rk}\,\mathcal{H}^s\widetilde{pr}_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}) \leq c\prod_{b=2}^n d_b$.

- For any $s \geq 1$, $\mathrm{rk}\mathcal{H}^s\widetilde{pr}_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}) \leq c\max\{\prod_{b\in B} d_b \,|\, B \subset \{2,\dots,n\} \text{ and } |B| = n-1-s\}$.

Proof. Let t be a closed point of U_1, $\widetilde{t}$ be a closed point of $\widetilde{U}_1$ such that $\alpha_1(\widetilde{t}) = t$. Let $i_t : t \hookrightarrow X_1$, $i_{\widetilde{t}} : \widetilde{t} \hookrightarrow \widetilde{X}_1$, $\mathfrak{u}_{\widetilde{t}} : \widetilde{t} \hookrightarrow \widetilde{U}_1$ be the closed immersions. Since the functor $\mathfrak{u}_{\widetilde{t}}^!$[1] is acyclic on $F\text{-}D^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{isoc}}(\widetilde{U}_1/K)$, since $i_{\widetilde{t}}^!$[1] $\xrightarrow{\sim}$ $(\mathfrak{u}_{\widetilde{t}}^!$[1]$)\circ j^!$, we obtain $i_{\widetilde{t}}^!$[1]$(\mathcal{H}^s\widetilde{pr}_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}^s(i_{\widetilde{t}}^!\widetilde{pr}_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}$[1]$))$. Moreover, for such $\widetilde{t}$, we have $\mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{H}^s\widetilde{pr}_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}})) = \dim_K i_{\widetilde{t}}^!$[1]$\mathcal{H}^s\widetilde{pr}_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}})$.

We put $\mathcal{E}_1 := i_t^!(\mathcal{E})$[1] and $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_1 := \beta^+(\mathcal{E}_1)$. Since $t \times Y$ is a smooth divisor of X, then $i_t^!$[1] is right exact. Hence $\mathcal{E}_1 \in F\text{-}D^{\leq 0}(Y/K)$. Since $\beta^+ = \beta^!$ and $\alpha \circ i_{\widetilde{t}} = i_t \circ \beta : \widetilde{t} \times \widetilde{Y} \to X$ (we identify t and $\widetilde{t}$), we get the isomorphism

$$i_{\widetilde{t}}^!\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}[1] = i_{\widetilde{t}}^!\alpha^+(\mathcal{E})[1] \xrightarrow{\sim} \beta^+ i_t^!(\mathcal{E})[1] = \beta^+(\mathcal{E}_1) = \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_1.$$

By base change isomorphism, we get: $i_{\widetilde{t}}^!\widetilde{pr}_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \widetilde{pr}_+ i_{\widetilde{t}}^!(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}})$. Hence,

$$i_{\widetilde{t}}^!\widetilde{pr}_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}})[1] \xrightarrow{\sim} \widetilde{pr}_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_1) = p_{\widetilde{Y}+}(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_1),$$

where we have identified $\widetilde{Y}$ with $\widetilde{t} \times \widetilde{Y}$. By composition, we obtain $i_{\widetilde{t}}^!$[1]$(\mathcal{H}^s\widetilde{pr}_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}^s p_{\widetilde{Y}+}(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_1)$ and then $\mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{H}^s\widetilde{pr}_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}})) = \dim_K \mathcal{H}^s p_{\widetilde{Y}+}(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_1)$. We conclude by applying the induction hypothesis to $\mathcal{E}_1$ (notice that we do need Theorem 1.4.3 : since U_1 is independent of the choice of α_i then so is t and then $\mathcal{E}_1$).

Step II)
1) By considering the exact triangle $j_!j^!(\mathcal{E}) \to \mathcal{E} \to i_+i^+(\mathcal{E}) \to +1$ we reduce to check the proposition for $\mathcal{E} = j_!j^!(\mathcal{E})$ or $\mathcal{E} = i_+i^+(\mathcal{E})$ (and because the functors $j_!j^!$ and i_+i^+ preserve $D^{\leq 0}$).
2) Suppose $\mathcal{E} = i_+i^+(\mathcal{E})$. We can suppose that Z_1 is an irreducible (i.e. since k is algebraically closed, $Z_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Spec}\,k$). Consider the diagram with cartesian squares:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\widetilde{Z}_1 \times \widetilde{Y} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} & Z_1 \times \widetilde{Y} & \xrightarrow{\beta} & Z_1 \times Y & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}} & Z_1 \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle i} & \square & \downarrow{\scriptstyle i} & \square & \downarrow{\scriptstyle i} & \square & \downarrow{\scriptstyle i} \\
\widetilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} & X_1 \times \widetilde{Y} & \xrightarrow{\beta} & X & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}} & X_1.
\end{array}$$

We put $\mathcal{G} := i^+(\mathcal{E})$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}} := \beta^+(\mathcal{G})$. Since $\widetilde{Z}_1$ is d_1 copies of Z_1, then we get $\alpha_{1+}\alpha_1^+\widetilde{\mathcal{G}} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\widetilde{\mathcal{G}})^{d_1}$. This implies

$$p_{\widetilde{X}+}(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}) = p_{\widetilde{X}+}(\alpha^+(i_+\mathcal{G})) \xrightarrow{\sim} p_{\widetilde{X}+}(i_+\alpha_1^+\beta^+\mathcal{G}) \xrightarrow{\sim} p_{\widetilde{Y}+}\alpha_{1+}\alpha_1^+(\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (p_{\widetilde{Y}+}(\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}))^{d_1}, \tag{2.2.5.1}$$

where in the second isomorphism we have identified $\widetilde{Y}$ with $Z_1 \times \widetilde{Y}$. We conclude by applying the induction hypothesis to $\mathcal{G}$.

3) Suppose now $\mathcal{E} = j_!j^!(\mathcal{E})$.
a) We check that there exists a constant c (only depending on $\mathcal{E}$) such that

- For any s, $\dim_K H^1 p_{\widetilde{X}_1+}(\mathcal{H}^s\widetilde{pr}_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}})) \leq c\prod_{b=2}^n d_b$.
- For any $s \geq 1$, $\dim_K H^1 p_{\widetilde{X}_1+}(\mathcal{H}^s\widetilde{pr}_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}})) \leq c\max\{\prod_{b\in B} d_b \,|\, B \subset \{2,\dots,n\} \text{ and } |B| = n-1-s\}$.

Proof: We put $\mathcal{F} = j^!(\mathcal{E})$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}} := \alpha^+(\mathcal{F})$. By using base change isomorphism, we get $j_!\widetilde{\mathcal{F}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}$. Hence, $\widetilde{pr}_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} j^!\widetilde{pr}_+(j_!\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} j^!\widetilde{pr}_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}) \in D^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{isoc}}(\widetilde{U}_1/K)$. Moreover, $j_!\widetilde{pr}_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \widetilde{pr}_+j_!(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \widetilde{pr}_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}})$. Since $j_!$ is exact, we get $j_!\mathcal{H}^s\widetilde{pr}_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}^s\widetilde{pr}_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}})$. From 2.1.1.2, we get the inequality in the middle:

$$\dim_K H^1 p_{\widetilde{X}_1+}(\mathcal{H}^s\widetilde{pr}_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}})) = \dim_K H^1 p_{\widetilde{U}_1!}(\mathcal{H}^s\widetilde{pr}_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}})) \leq \mathrm{rk}\mathcal{H}^s\widetilde{pr}_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}) = \mathrm{rk}\mathcal{H}^s\widetilde{pr}_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}).$$

From the step I), we get the desired estimate.
b) We have the spectral sequence

$$E_2^{r,s} = H^r p_{\widetilde{X}_1+}\mathcal{H}^s\widetilde{pr}_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}) \Rightarrow H^{r+s}p_{\widetilde{X}+}(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}).$$

Since $\widetilde{U}_1$ is affine of dimension 1 and since $p_{\widetilde{X}_1+}(\mathcal{H}^s\widetilde{pr}_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}})) \xrightarrow{\sim} p_{\widetilde{U}_1!}(\mathcal{H}^s\widetilde{pr}_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}))$, we get $E_2^{r,s}=0$ when $r\notin\{0,1\}$. Hence, by using the step a) (still valid if we vary the order of $X_1,\dots,X_n$), it remains to check that there exists a constant $c(\mathcal{E})$ such that

- For any s, $|\chi(\widetilde{X}_1,\mathcal{H}^s\widetilde{pr}_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}))|\leq c(\mathcal{E})\prod_{a=1}^n d_a$.
- For any $s\geq 1$, $|\chi(\widetilde{X}_1,\mathcal{H}^s\widetilde{pr}_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}))|\leq c(\mathcal{E})\max\{\prod_{a\in A}d_a\,|A\subset\{1,\dots,n\}\text{ and }|A|=n-s\}$.

i) In this step, we reduce to the case where α_1 is the identity. For this purpose, consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\widetilde{X}_1\times\widetilde{Y} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} & X_1\times\widetilde{Y} & \xrightarrow{\beta} & X \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \widetilde{pr}} & \square & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \widetilde{pr}} & \swarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{pr}} & \\
\widetilde{X}_1 & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} & X_1. & &
\end{array}$$

We have $\chi(\widetilde{X}_1,\mathcal{H}^s\widetilde{pr}_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}))=\chi(X_1,\alpha_{1+}\mathcal{H}^s\widetilde{pr}_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}))$. By using some base change isomorphism, we get the second isomorphism: $\alpha_{1+}\widetilde{pr}_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}})\xrightarrow{\sim}\alpha_{1+}\widetilde{pr}_+\alpha_1^+(\beta^+(\mathcal{E}))\xrightarrow{\sim}\alpha_{1+}\alpha_1^+\widetilde{pr}_+(\beta^+(\mathcal{E}))$. Since α_{1+} and α_1^+ are exact, this implies the isomorphism $\alpha_{1+}\mathcal{H}^s\widetilde{pr}_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}})\xrightarrow{\sim}\alpha_{1+}\alpha_1^+\mathcal{H}^s\widetilde{pr}_+(\beta^+(\mathcal{E}))$. Hence, $\chi(X_1,\alpha_{1+}\mathcal{H}^s\widetilde{pr}_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}))=\chi(X_1,\alpha_{1+}\alpha_1^+\mathcal{H}^s\widetilde{pr}_+(\beta^+(\mathcal{E})))$. From Lemma 1.2.7, we have $\chi(X_1,\alpha_{1+}\alpha_1^+\mathcal{H}^s\widetilde{pr}_+(\beta^+(\mathcal{E})))=d_1\chi(X_1,\mathcal{H}^s\widetilde{pr}_+(\beta^+(\mathcal{E})))$. Hence, we have checked that $\chi(\widetilde{X}_1,\mathcal{H}^s\widetilde{pr}_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}))=d_1\chi(X_1,\mathcal{H}^s\widetilde{pr}_+(\beta^+(\mathcal{E})))$, which yields the desired result.

ii) We suppose from now that α_1 is the identity. We prove that we can reduce to the case where $X_1=\mathbb{P}^1_k$ and $U_1=\mathbb{A}^1_k$. Indeed, from Kedlaya's main theorem of [Ked05], by shrinking U_1 is necessary, there exists a finite morphism $f\colon X_1\to\mathbb{P}^1_k$ such that $U_1=f^{-1}(\mathbb{A}^1_k)$ and the induced morphism $g\colon U_1\to\mathbb{A}^1_k$ is etale. We get the cartesian squares:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & \overset{\widetilde{pr}}{\frown} & & & & \\
\widetilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & X & \xrightarrow{pr} & X_1 & \xleftarrow{j} & U_1 \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle f} & \square & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} & \square & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} & \square & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g} \\
\mathbb{P}^1_k\times\widetilde{Y} & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \mathbb{P}^1_k\times Y & \xrightarrow{pr} & \mathbb{P}^1_k & \xleftarrow{j} & \mathbb{A}^1_k. \\
 & & \underset{\widetilde{pr}}{\smile} & & & &
\end{array} \tag{2.2.5.2}$$

Set $\mathcal{E}':=f_+(\mathcal{E})$. Then we get that $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}':=\alpha^+(\mathcal{E}')\xrightarrow{\sim}f_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}})$. We have already seen in Step II.3.a) that $\widetilde{pr}_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}})\xrightarrow{\sim}j_!\widetilde{pr}_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}})$. Since $f_+j_!\xrightarrow{\sim}j_!g_+$, since g_+ (because g is finite and etale) and $j_!$ are exact, then we get

$$\mathcal{H}^sf_+\widetilde{pr}_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}})\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathcal{H}^sf_+j_!\widetilde{pr}_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}})\xrightarrow{\sim}j_!g_+\mathcal{H}^s\widetilde{pr}_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}})\xrightarrow{\sim}f_+j_!\mathcal{H}^s\widetilde{pr}_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}})\xrightarrow{\sim}f_+\mathcal{H}^s\widetilde{pr}_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}).$$

Morever, since $\mathcal{H}^s\widetilde{pr}_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}')\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathcal{H}^sf_+\widetilde{pr}_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}})$, we obtain $\chi(X_1,\mathcal{H}^s\widetilde{pr}_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}))=\chi(\mathbb{P}^1_k,f_+\mathcal{H}^s\widetilde{pr}_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}))=\chi(\mathbb{P}^1_k,\mathcal{H}^s\widetilde{pr}_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}'))$.

iii) We suppose from now $X_1=\mathbb{P}^1_k$ and $U_1=\mathbb{A}^1_k$. From 2.1.2, for any $m\in\{0,1\}$, we have the inequality

$$\mathcal{H}^m(i^!j_!\mathcal{H}^s\widetilde{pr}_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}))\leq\mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{H}^s\widetilde{pr}_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}))=\mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{H}^s\widetilde{pr}_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}})).$$

From the step I, this latter is well estimated. Since $\chi(X_1,\mathcal{H}^s\widetilde{pr}_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}))=\chi(X_1,j_!\mathcal{H}^s\widetilde{pr}_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}))=\chi(X_1,i_+i^!j_!\mathcal{H}^s\widetilde{pr}_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}))+\chi(X_1,j_+\mathcal{H}^s\widetilde{pr}_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}))$ (use some exact triangle of localization for the latter equality), then we reduce to estimate $\chi(X_1,j_+\mathcal{H}^s\widetilde{pr}_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}))$.

From Christol-Mebkhout's Theorem [CM01, 5.0-10] (as described in the introduction), we have the following p-adic Euler-Poincare formula:

$$\chi(X_1,j_+\mathcal{H}^s\widetilde{pr}_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}))=\chi(U_1,\mathcal{H}^s\widetilde{pr}_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}))=\mathrm{rk}\,(\mathcal{H}^s\widetilde{pr}_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}))\chi(U_1)-\mathrm{Irr}_\infty(\mathcal{H}^s\widetilde{pr}_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}})),$$

where ∞ is the complement of U_1 in X_1, i.e. of $\mathbb{A}^1_k$ in $\mathbb{P}^1_k$.

From Abe-Marmora's formula [AM, 2.3.2 and 4.1.6.(i)], with the inequality 2.2.4.1, we get the following one:

$$\mathrm{Irr}_\infty(\mathcal{H}^s\widetilde{pr}_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}})) \leq \mathrm{rk}(\mathscr{F}_\pi(\mathcal{H}^s\widetilde{pr}_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}))) + 2\mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{H}^s\widetilde{pr}_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}})),$$

where $\mathscr{F}_\pi$ means the Fourier transform (see 2.2.1). Hence, we reduce to check the (last) step iv).

iv) In this step, we estimate $\mathrm{rk}(\mathscr{F}_\pi(\mathcal{H}^s\widetilde{pr}_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}})))$.

From the step I) applied to $\mathcal{M} := j_+\mathscr{F}_\pi(j^!\mathcal{E})$, there exists a constant c (only depending on $\mathcal{E}$) such that

- For any s, $\mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{H}^s\widetilde{pr}_+(\alpha^+\mathcal{M})) \leq c\prod_{b=2}^n d_b$.
- For any $s \geq 1$, $\mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{H}^s\widetilde{pr}_+(\alpha^+\mathcal{M})) \leq c\max\{\prod_{b\in B} d_b \,|\, B \subset \{2,\dots,n\} \text{ and } |B| = n-1-s\}$.

It remains to check that $\mathrm{rk}(\mathscr{F}_\pi(\mathcal{H}^s\widetilde{pr}_+(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}))) = \mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{H}^s\widetilde{pr}_+(\alpha^+\mathcal{M}))$. Since $\beta = \alpha$, we get the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \overset{\widetilde{pr}}{\frown} & & \\
\widetilde{X} & \xrightarrow[\alpha]{} & X & \xrightarrow[pr]{} & X_1 \\
{\scriptstyle j}\uparrow & \square & {\scriptstyle j}\uparrow & \square & {\scriptstyle j}\uparrow \\
\widetilde{U} & \xrightarrow[\alpha]{} & U & \xrightarrow[pr]{} & U_1 \\
 & & \underset{\widetilde{pr}}{\smile} & &
\end{array} \qquad (2.2.5.3)$$

By base change (recall that $\alpha^! = \alpha^+$) and next by using 2.2.3, we have

$$\widetilde{pr}_+(\alpha^+\mathcal{M}) = \widetilde{pr}_+(\alpha^+ j_+\mathscr{F}_\pi(\mathcal{F})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \widetilde{pr}_+ j_+\alpha^+(\mathscr{F}_\pi(\mathcal{F})) \xrightarrow{\sim} j_+\widetilde{pr}_+\alpha^+(\mathscr{F}_\pi(\mathcal{F})) \xrightarrow{\sim} j_+\widetilde{pr}_+(\mathscr{F}_\pi(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}})) \xrightarrow{\sim} j_+(\mathscr{F}_\pi(\widetilde{pr}_+\widetilde{\mathcal{F}})).$$

Since the Fourier transform $\mathscr{F}_\pi$ is acyclic (see 2.2.2) and so is j_+, we get $\mathcal{H}^s\widetilde{pr}_+(\alpha^+\mathcal{M}) \xrightarrow{\sim} j_+(\mathscr{F}_\pi(\mathcal{H}^s\widetilde{pr}_+\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}))$. Since $\mathrm{rk}(\mathscr{F}_\pi(\mathcal{H}^s\widetilde{pr}_+\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}) = \mathrm{rk}(j_+(\mathscr{F}_\pi(\mathcal{H}^s\widetilde{pr}_+\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}))$ (recall the notation of 1.4.2), we can conclude.

□

From Theorem 2.2.5, the reader can check the p-adic analogues of corollaries [BBD82, 4.5.2–5] by copying the proofs. Moreover, from [AC13b], we have a theory of weight in the framework of arithmetic $\mathcal{D}$-modules. For instance, we have checked the stability properties under Grothendieck six operations, i.e. the p-adic analogue of Deligne famous work in [Del80]), which is also explained in [BBD82, 5.1.14]. In [BBD82, 5.2.1], a reverse implication was proved. The reader can check that we can copy the proof without further problems (i.e., we only have to check that we have nothing new to check, e.g. we have already 2.1.1 or the purity of the middle extension of some pure unipotent F-isocrystal as given in [AC13b, 3.6.3]). For the reader, let us write this p-adic version and its important corollary [BBD82, 5.3.1] (this corollary is proved in [AC13b] in another way, but Theorem 2.2.6 below is a new result).

Theorem 2.2.6 ([BBD82, 5.2.1])**.** *We suppose $k = \mathbb{F}_{p^s}$ is finite and that F means the sth power of Frobenius. Choose an isomorphism of the form $\iota\colon \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}$. Let X be a k-variety and $\mathcal{E} \in F$-Ovhol(X/K). We suppose that, for any etale morphism $\alpha\colon U \to X$ with U affine, the K-vector space $H^0(p_{U+}(\alpha^+(\mathcal{E}))$ is ι-mixed of weight $\geq w$. Then $\mathcal{E}$ is ι-mixed of weight $\geq w$.*

Corollary 2.2.7 ([BBD82, 5.3.1])**.** *With the notation 2.2.6, if $\mathcal{E}$ is ι-mixed of weight $\geq w$ (resp. $\leq w$), then any subquotient of $\mathcal{E}$ is ι-mixed of weight $\geq w$ (resp. $\leq w$).*

Finally, except [BBD82, 5.4.7–8], the reader can check easily the other results of the chapter 5 of [BBD82] by translating the proofs in our p-adic context.

References

[Abe10] T. ABE – "Comparison between Swan conductors and characteristic cycles", *Compos. Math.* **146** (2010), no. 3, p. 638–682.

[AC13a] T. ABE et D. CARO – "On beilinson's equivalence for p-adic cohomology", (2013).

[AC13b] — , "Theory of weights in p-adic cohomology", (2013).

[AM] T. ABE et A. MARMORA – "Product formula for p-adic epsilon factors", *to appear in J. Inst. Math. Jussieu.*

[BBD82] A. A. BEĬLINSON, J. BERNSTEIN et P. DELIGNE – "Faisceaux pervers", Analysis and topology on singular spaces, I (Luminy, 1981), Astérisque, vol. 100, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1982, p. 5–171.

[Ber84] P. BERTHELOT – "Cohomologie rigide et théorie de Dwork: le cas des sommes exponentielles", *Astérisque* (1984), no. 119-120, p. 3, 17–49, p-adic cohomology.

[Ber97] — , "Altérations de variétés algébriques (d'après A. J. de Jong)", *Astérisque* (1997), no. 241, p. Exp. No. 815, 5, 273–311, Séminaire Bourbaki, Vol. 1995/96.

[Ber00] — , "$\mathcal{D}$-modules arithmétiques. II. Descente par Frobenius", *Mém. Soc. Math. Fr. (N.S.)* (2000), no. 81, p. vi+136.

[Ber02] — , "Introduction à la théorie arithmétique des $\mathcal{D}$-modules", *Astérisque* (2002), no. 279, p. 1–80, Cohomologies p-adiques et applications arithmétiques, II.

[Car06] D. CARO – "Fonctions L associées aux $\mathcal{D}$-modules arithmétiques. Cas des courbes", *Compositio Mathematica* **142** (2006), no. 01, p. 169–206.

[Car07] — , "Overconvergent F-isocrystals and differential overcoherence", *Invent. Math.* **170** (2007), no. 3, p. 507–539.

[Car15] D. CARO – "Lagrangianity for log extendable overconvergent isocrystals", *ArXiv Mathematics e-prints* (2015).

[CM01] G. CHRISTOL et Z. MEBKHOUT – "Sur le théorème de l'indice des équations différentielles p-adiques. IV", *Invent. Math.* **143** (2001), no. 3, p. 629–672.

[Cre98] R. CREW – "Finiteness theorems for the cohomology of an overconvergent isocrystal on a curve", *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* **31** (1998), no. 6, p. 717–763.

[CT12] D. CARO et N. TSUZUKI – "Overholonomicity of overconvergent F-isocrystals over smooth varieties", *Ann. of Math. (2)* **176** (2012), no. 2, p. 747–813.

[Del80] P. DELIGNE – "La conjecture de Weil. II", *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* (1980), no. 52, p. 137–252.

[dJ96] A. J. DE JONG – "Smoothness, semi-stability and alterations", *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* (1996), no. 83, p. 51–93.

[Ful98] W. FULTON – *Intersection theory*, second éd., Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics], vol. 2, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.

[EGAI] A. GROTHENDIECK – "Éléments de géométrie algébrique. I. Le langage des schémas", *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* (1960), no. 4, p. 228.

[EGAII] — , "Éléments de géométrie algébrique. II. Étude globale élémentaire de quelques classes de morphismes", *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* (1961), no. 8, p. 222.

[EGAIV3] — , "Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas. III", *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* (1966), no. 28, p. 255.

[EGAIV4] — , "Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas IV", *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* (1967), no. 32, p. 361.

[HTT08] R. HOTTA, K. TAKEUCHI et T. TANISAKI – *D-modules, perverse sheaves, and representation theory*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 236, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2008, Translated from the 1995 Japanese edition by Takeuchi.

[Kas77] M. KASHIWARA – "B-functions and holonomic systems. Rationality of roots of B-functions", *Invent. Math.* **38** (1976/77), no. 1, p. 33–53.

[Ked03] K. S. KEDLAYA – "Semistable reduction for overconvergent F-isocrystals on a curve", *Math. Res. Lett.* **10** (2003), no. 2-3, p. 151–159.

[Ked05] — , "More étale covers of affine spaces in positive characteristic", *J. Algebraic Geom.* **14** (2005), no. 1, p. 187–192.

[Ked11] — , "Semistable reduction for overconvergent F-isocrystals, IV: local semistable reduction at nonmonomial valuations", *Compos. Math.* **147** (2011), no. 2, p. 467–523.

[KL85] N. M. KATZ et G. LAUMON – "Transformation de Fourier et majoration de sommes exponentielles", *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* (1985), no. 62, p. 361–418.

[Lau85] G. LAUMON – "Transformations canoniques et spécialisation pour les $\mathcal{D}$-modules filtrés", *Astérisque* (1985), no. 130, p. 56–129, Differential systems and singularities (Luminy, 1983).

[Liu02] Q. LIU – *Algebraic geometry and arithmetic curves*, Oxford Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 6, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2002, Translated from the French by Reinie Erné, Oxford Science Publications.

[NH04] C. NOOT-HUYGHE – "Transformation de Fourier des $\mathcal{D}$-modules arithmétiques. I", Geometric aspects of Dwork theory. Vol. I, II, Walter de Gruyter GmbH & Co. KG, Berlin, 2004, p. 857–907.

[SGA1] *Revêtements étales et groupe fondamental (SGA 1)* – Documents Mathématiques (Paris) [Mathematical Documents (Paris)], 3, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2003, Séminaire de géométrie algébrique du Bois Marie 1960–61. [Geometric Algebra Seminar of Bois Marie 1960-61], Directed by A. Grothendieck, With two papers by M. Raynaud, Updated and annotated reprint of the 1971 original [Lecture Notes in Math., 224, Springer, Berlin;].

[Vir00] A. VIRRION – "Dualité locale et holonomie pour les $\mathcal{D}$-modules arithmétiques", *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **128** (2000), no. 1, p. 1–68.

Daniel Caro
Laboratoire de Mathématiques Nicolas Oresme
Université de Caen Campus 2
14032 Caen Cedex
France.
email: daniel.caro@unicaen.fr